#### Notes

- Reference
  - Witkin and Baraff, "Physically Based Modelling" course, SIGGRAPH 2001
  - Link on the course website

#### **True Collisions**

- Turn attention from repulsions for a while
- Model collision as a discrete event a bounce
  - Input: incoming velocity, object normal
  - Output: outgoing velocity
- Need some idea of how "elastic" the collision
  - Fully elastic reflection
  - Fully inelastic sticks (or slides)
- Let's ignore friction for now
- Let's also ignore how to incorporate it into algorithm for moving particles for now

## Newtonian Collisions

- Say object is stationary, normal at point of impact is n
- Incoming particle velocity is v
- Split v into normal and tangential components:

$$v_N = v \cdot n$$
$$v_T = v - v_N n$$

- Newtonian model for outgoing velocity
  - Unchanged tangential component v<sub>m</sub>
  - New normal component is  $v_N^{new} = -\mathcal{E}v_N^{old}$
  - The "coefficient of restitution" is ε, ranging from o (inelastic) to 1 (perfectly elastic)
- The final outgoing velocity is  $v^{new} = v_T^{old} - \varepsilon v_N^{old} n$

# Relative velocity in collisions

- What if particle hits a moving object?
- Now process collision in terms of relative velocity
  - $v_{rel} = v_{particle} v_{object}$
  - Take normal and tangential components of relative velocity
  - Reflect normal part appropriately to get new  $v_{rel}^{\phantom{\dagger}}$
  - Then new  $v_{particle} = v_{object} + (new v_{rel})$

## Movable Objects

- Before assumed  $m_{object} >> m_{particle}$ 
  - Then effect on object is negligible
  - If not, still calculate new v<sub>rel</sub> as above
  - But change  $v_{\text{object}}$  and  $v_{\text{particle}}$  with "impulses"
- Unknown impulse I (force \* time) applied to particle and opposite -I to object
- New velocities:  $v_{particle}^{new} = v_{particle} + \frac{I}{m_{particle}}$  $v_{object}^{new} = v_{object} - \frac{I}{m_{object}}$
- New relative velocity in terms of I gives equation to solve for I:

 $v_{rel}^{new} = v_{rel} + \left(\frac{1}{m_{particle}} + \frac{1}{m_{object}}\right)I$ 

## Friction

- Friction slows down the relative tangential velocity
- Causes a tangential force F<sub>T</sub> that opposes sliding, according to
  - Magnitude of normal force F<sub>N</sub> pressing on particle
  - And friction coefficient  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
- Basic Coulomb law:
  - If kinetic friction (v<sub>Trel</sub>≠0) then |F<sub>T</sub>|=µ|F<sub>N</sub>| and is in a direction most opposing sliding
  - If static friction ( $v_{Trel}=o$ ) then  $|F_T| \le \mu |F_N|$

# **Implementing Friction**

- Gets really messy to directly use friction forces (really hard to get true static friction!)
- Instead integrate into relative velocity update
- Integrating normal and friction force over the collision time and dividing by mass gives Coulomb friction in terms of velocity changes:
  - Static friction:  $|\Delta v_T| \le \mu |\Delta v_N|$  (and then  $v_T=0$ )
  - Kinetic friction:  $\Delta v_T = -\mu |\Delta v_N| |v_T| |v_T|$ 
    - Assuming direction of friction force is always opposing the initial tangential velocity
  - Combine into one formula for new relative tangential velocity:

$$v_T^{after} = \max\left(0, 1 - \frac{\mu |\Delta v_N|}{|v_T^{before}|}\right) v_T^{before}$$

#### Collisions so far

- We now have a black box collision processing routine
  - Input:
    - particle velocity before
    - (maybe object velocity and masses)
    - object normal
    - parameters  $\epsilon$  and  $\mu$
  - Intermediate:
    - Relative velocity, split into normal and tangential components
  - Output:
    - new particle velocity
    - (maybe new object velocity)
- How do we use this in time integration?

# Simple collision algorithm

- After each time step, check if particles collided with objects
  - If so, change velocities according to routine
- Fails catastrophically for more interesting cases
  - New velocity may or may not get particle out next time step - is that another collision?
  - Is it ok to have particles inside (or on the wrong side of) objects any time?

# Backing up time

- Can avoid some problems by processing collision when it happens, not after the fact
- Figure out when collision happens (or at least get close to time of collision, but not later than)
- Apply velocity update then
- Potential problems:
  - Hard to figure out time
  - Could involve a lot of work per time step (unpredictable)

# Simultaneous collision resolution

- Ignore exact timing and order of collisions during a time step
- Begin with old position x<sup>old</sup>
- New candidate position x<sup>new</sup>
- If collision occurred, process with v<sup>avg</sup>=(x<sup>new</sup>-x)/∆t to get new postcollision velocity v<sup>after</sup>
- Then change  $x^{new}$  to  $x^{after}=x^{old}+\Delta t v^{after}$
- Iterate until no collisions remain

## Notes on collision resolution

- This works really well for inelastic collisions
- Can use a large ∆t: separate collision processing from particle physics
  - Can take many small steps to from x<sup>old</sup> to x<sup>new</sup> if stability demands it
- Problems arise with elastic collisions
  - May not converge
  - Bouncing block problem: a block won't come to rest on the floor

## Elastic collision resolution

- Start with x<sup>old</sup> and v<sup>old</sup>
- Advance to x<sup>new</sup>
- If collision, apply elastic collision law to v<sup>old</sup> to get v<sup>2</sup>
- Take x<sup>2</sup>=x<sup>new</sup>+Δt (v<sup>2</sup>-v<sup>old</sup>) or reintegrate from x<sup>old</sup>, v<sup>2</sup> if you can afford it
  - Repeat elastic step a few times if you want, and there are still collisions with x<sup>2</sup>
- If still collision, apply INELASTIC collision law to v<sup>avg</sup>=(x<sup>2</sup>-x<sup>old</sup>)/Δt to get v<sup>after</sup>
- Change  $x^2$  to  $x^{after}=x^{old}+\Delta t v^{after}$
- Repeat as needed

# One last problem

- Due to round-off error, or pathological geometry, may still go into a long loop resolving collisions
- So cut loop off after a small number of iterations
- Failsafe: take v<sup>after</sup>=0, x<sup>new</sup>=x<sup>old</sup>
  - May look weird, still could have issues for moving objects especially
- Last resort: accept the penetration, apply a repulsion force to eventually move the particle out from the object(s)