

Notes

Heightfields

- Especially good for terrain - just need a 2d array of heights (maybe stored as an image)
 - Displacement map from a plane
- Split up plane into triangles
- Particle inside:
 - Figure out which triangle (x,y) belongs to, check z against equation of triangle's plane
- Trajectory cross (stationary heightfield):
 - Check all triangles along path (use 2d line-drawing algorithm to figure out which cells to check)
- Object normal: get from triangle
- Distance etc.: not so easy, but vertical distance easy for shallow heightfields

Triangle mesh

- For any decent size, need to use an acceleration structure
 - Could use background (hash-)grid, octree, kd-tree
 - Also can use bounding volume (BV) hierarchy
 - Spheres, axis-aligned bounding boxes, oriented bounding boxes, polytopes, ...
 - More exotic structures exist...
- Particle inside (closed mesh):
 - Shoot a ray out to infinity, count the number of crossings
- Trajectory cross (stationary mesh):
 - For each candidate triangle (from acceleration) check a sequence of determinants

Triangle intersection

- Many, many ways to do this
- Most robust (and one of the fastest) is to do it based on determinants
 - For vectors a,b,c define $\det(a,b,c) = \begin{vmatrix} a_x & a_y & a_z \\ b_x & b_y & b_z \\ c_x & c_y & c_z \end{vmatrix} (= a \times b \cdot c)$
 - $\det(a,b,c) = \pm 6 \text{ volume}(\text{tet}(a,b,c))$, the signed volume of the tetrahedron spanned by edges a,b,c from a common point
 - Sign flips when tetrahedron reflected, or alternatively from right-hand-rule on $a \times b \cdot c$
- Triangle intersection boils down to
 - 2 sign checks: segment crosses plane
 - 3 sign checks: line goes through triangle

Triangle Mesh (more)

- Object normal
 - Normalize cross-product of two sides of the triangle
- Distance from single triangle
 - Find barycentric coordinates -- solve a least-squares problem
 - Need to clip to sides of triangle
 - Compute distance from that point
 - Note: also gives direction to closest point
- Distance (and direction) from mesh
 - Compute for all possible triangles, take minimum
 - Trick is to find small list of possible triangles with acceleration structure

Implicit Surface

- Simple function, metaballs, or interpolated from 3d grid (“level set”)
 - Recall - for metaballs need acceleration
- Particle inside: $f(x) < 0$
- Trajectory cross:
 - Just like ray-tracing - use secant method
- Object normal: $\nabla f / |\nabla f|$
- Distance from surface:
 - If $f()$ is signed distance, then trivial
 - Otherwise, painful, but $f()$ might be good enough for application

Back to particle collisions

- So now we can represent other geometry, how do we do a repulsion velocity field?
 - $v(x) = f(\text{distance}(x)) * n(x)$
 - $n(x)$ is the outward direction (=normal on surface)
 - f is some decreasing function that drops towards zero far away
 - Exponential $f(d) = e^{-k*d}$
 - Or linear drop, truncated to zero: $f(d) = \max(0, m - k*d)$
 - Or more complicated
 - Outward direction is plus/minus direction to closest point
- Aside: useful for more than just collisions - e.g. fire particles streaming out of an object

Force-based repulsions

- Can do exactly the same trick for force-based motion
 - Add repulsion field to $F(x)$
- Simple, often works, but there are sometimes problems
 - What are you trying to model?
 - Robustness - high velocity impacts can penetrate arbitrarily far
 - High velocity impacts may go straight through thin objects
 - How much of a rebound do you want?

Damped repulsions

- Think of repulsion force as a generalized spring
- Add spring damping:

$$F_{damp} = -D(v \cdot n(x))n(x)$$

- D is some parameter you set
- $n(x)$ is the outward direction again

Aside: springs and damping

- How do you come up with reasonable values for spring constants and damping constants?
 - And how do you pick good step sizes for differential equation solver (Forward Euler etc.)
- Look at 1D simplified model
 - $Ma = F = -Kx - Dv$
 - M is the mass, K is like a spring stiffness, D is the damping parameter
- Solve it analytically

Critical Damping

- Three cases:
 - Underdamped ($D^2 - 4MK < 0$)
 - Oscillation with frequency $\omega \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{K/M}$
 - Characteristic time: $t \sim 2\pi \sqrt{M/K}$
 - Exponentially decays at rate $r = -D/(2M)$
 - Characteristic time: $t \sim 2M/D$
 - Overdamped ($D^2 - 4MK > 0$)
 - No continued oscillation
 - Exponentially decays at rates $r \sim -K/D, -D/M$
 - Characteristic times: $t \sim D/K, M/D$
 - Critically damped ($D^2 - 4MK = 0$) $D = 2\sqrt{MK}$
 - No continued oscillation
 - Fastest decay possible at rate $r = -D/(2M)$
 - Characteristic time: $t \sim 2M/D$

Numerical time steps

- Should be proportional to minimum characteristic time
 - Implicit methods like Backwards Euler actually let you take larger steps with stability, but wipe out all hope of accuracy for things with small characteristic time
- For nonlinear multi-dimensional forces, what are K and D?
 - Estimate them by figuring out what is the fastest $|F|$ can change if you modify x or v respectively
 - This is all very approximate, so don't get hung up on getting the "right" answer
 - Will ultimately need a fudge factor anyhow (from experiments)

True Collisions

- Turn attention from repulsions for a while
- Model collision as a discrete event - a bounce
 - Input: incoming velocity, object normal
 - Output: outgoing velocity
- Need some idea of how “elastic” the collision
 - Fully elastic - reflection
 - Fully inelastic - sticks (or slides)
- Let’s ignore friction for now
- Let’s also ignore how to incorporate it into algorithm for moving particles for now

Newtonian Collisions

- Say object is stationary, normal at point of impact is n
- Incoming particle velocity is v
- Split v into normal and tangential components:

$$v_N = v \cdot n$$

$$v_T = v - v_N n$$

- Newtonian model for outgoing velocity
 - Unchanged tangential component v_T
 - New normal component is $v_N^{new} = -\epsilon v_N^{old}$
 - The “coefficient of restitution” is ϵ , ranging from 0 (inelastic) to 1 (perfectly elastic)
- The final outgoing velocity is

$$v^{new} = v_T + \epsilon v_N^{old} n$$

Relative velocity in collisions

- What if particle hits a moving object?
- Now process collision in terms of relative velocity
 - $v_{rel} = v_{particle} - v_{object}$
 - Take normal and tangential components of relative velocity
 - Reflect normal part appropriately to get new v_{rel}
 - Then new $v_{particle} = v_{object} + (\text{new } v_{rel})$