

In this lecture we discussed:

- Witness for SAT;
- NP vs co-NP;
- Approximation Algorithms.

1 NP-Completeness Wrap-up

Witness example: SAT

$$\phi = (x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y}) \wedge (x \vee \bar{z})$$

$\phi \in SAT \equiv \phi$ has a satisfying truth assignment

A witness in this case is a truth assignment

Note: It may be impossible to find a small, easily verifiable witness for some problems.

Ex: $\phi \in \text{co-SAT} \equiv \phi$ has no satisfying assignment iff $\bar{\phi} \in SAT$

A witness for co-SAT problem would have to show that ϕ is not satisfied by any assignment.

NP vs co-NP:

Example: True Quantified Boolean Formula

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z (x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y})$$

NP $\equiv \exists$ witness $\equiv \Sigma_1$

co-NP $\equiv \forall$ witness $\equiv \Pi_1$

Note:

$\Sigma_2 \equiv \exists x \forall y$ (verifiable in polynomial time)

$\Pi_2 \equiv \forall x \exists y$ (verifiable in polynomial time)

2 Approximation Algorithms

What to do when need to solve NP-hard optimization problem?

- **Heuristic.** Disadvantage: bad adaptability to new input.
- **Approximation.** Advantage: can prove how close is the approximated solution to the optimal solution for any input.

Definition: An algorithm A is a ρ -approximation if for every input I with optimal solution value $OPT(I)$:

$$A(I) \approx OPT(I) \equiv \begin{cases} A(I) \leq \rho OPT(I) & \text{(minimization problem)} \\ A(I) \geq \frac{OPT(I)}{\rho} & \text{(maximization problem)} \end{cases}$$