## CPSC 420+500: Advanced Algorithm Design and Analysis January 18 & 20, 2017

Scribe: Laura Barton

## Lower Bound on Element Uniqueness

Lemma Any linear decision tree that computes some function F has height:

$$\lceil \log_3\left(\sum_{outputs \ t} \#connected \ components \ of \ F_t\right) \rceil$$

i.e.,  $\log_3$  of the number of connected components for each of the possible outputs of F.

**Theorem** Any linear decision tree that computes Element Uniqueness has height  $\Omega(n \log n)$ . The NO components for Element Uniqueness = 1, since all hyperplanes are joined at the origin.

Proof. Let

$$x = (1, 2, ..., n)$$
  
 $y = (2, 1, 3, ..., n)$ 

Are x and y in the same connected component? No. If you have (1,2) and (2,1), at some point a path between them would have to cross a NO region.

Let v be a vector of n unique numbers and  $v \neq v'$  be any permutation of v. There myst be indices i and j such that  $v_i$  is smaller than  $v_j$  and  $v'_i$  is bigger than  $v'_j$ , i.e.,  $v_i < v_j$ ,  $v'_i > v'_j$ . Any continuous path from v to v' must contain a point z with  $z_i = z_j$  (by the intermediate value theorem<sup>\*</sup>). z is a NO input, so v and v' are not in the same connected component.

\*Intermediate Value Theorem Let p be a path from points x to y where

$$p: [0,1] \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, p(0) = x, p(1) = y$$

Let  $q(t) = p(t)_j - p(t)_i$  (the difference between  $i^{th}$  and  $j^{th}$  coordinate in point p(t)). At some point, q(t) = 0 because q(0) > 0, q(1) < 0 so it had to have crossed from positive to negative.

Since there are n! different permutations of a list of n numbers, and none of those permutations are in the same component, there are at least n! different connected components, i.e.,  $\#F_{YES} \ge n!$ . Therefore:

$$\#F_{YES} + F_{NO} = n! + 1$$

Plugging this into our formula for the height of the decision tree:

$$\lceil \log_3\left(\sum \#F_t\right) \rceil = \lceil \log_3\left(n!+1\right) \rceil$$
$$\lceil \log\left(n!\right) \rceil = n \log n \in \Omega(n \log n)$$

Practice Question: reduce Element Uniqueness to Convex Hull.

However, Linear Decision Trees aren't powerful enough to calculate the Convex Hull. Algebraic Decision Trees of the  $d^{th}$  order use internal node tests that are  $d^{th}$  order polynomials (i.e., Linear Decision Trees are Algebraic Decision Trees of the  $1^{st}$  order.)

Jarvis March is  $\in O(nh)$  and Graham's Scan is  $\in O(n \log n)$  (where h is the number of points on the hull); is there a more efficient algorithm?

## Chan's Algorithm

 $(\sim 1996) \in O(n \log h)$  Given n points in set P and a guess h:

- 1. O(n) Divide points into  $\lceil n/h \rceil$  groups of size h
- 2.  $O(h \log h)$  per group,  $\in O(n \log h)$  total Use Graham's Scan to find the convex hull of each group
- 3. O(n) Find the lowest point  $p_0$  in P
- 4.  $O(h(n/h) \log h) = O(n \log h)$ . Do giftwrapping (Jarvis March) for h steps.

Note that we don't need to scan all the points in P, since we have h hulls that are now sorted within themselves; we can find the rightmost tangent from  $p_i$  for each sub-hull using binary search. n/h binary searches each taking  $O(\log h)$  time take a total of  $O(n/h \log h)$  time. Since we do this gift-wrapping step h times, the total time is  $O(hn/h \log h) = O(n \log h)$ . Let  $p_{i+1} = \text{point}$  on the rightmost out of those tangents.

5. If  $p_{i+1} = p_0$  in  $\leq h$  steps, then output the hull. Otherwise output that h is too small.

Where does our guess h come from? Generate the guesses for the "true" h,  $h^*$ , by squaring each time:

$$h = 4, 16, 256...$$
  
 $t^{th}try = 2^{2^{t}}$ 

The time complexity of all tries until  $h \ge h^*$ 

$$\sum_{h=2^{2^t}} O(n\log h) \text{ until } h \ge h *$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^{\lceil \log \log h* \rceil} O(n2^t) = n [\sum_{t=1}^{\lceil \log \log h* \rceil} O(2^t) = O(n \log h*)$$
$$\approx 2^{\lg \lg h*}$$