

# CPSC 320 Learning Goals

## Course-level Learning Goals

At the end of the course, a student will be able to:

1. Recognize which algorithm design technique(s), such as divide and conquer, prune and search, greedy strategies, or dynamic programming was used in a given algorithm.
2. Select and judge several promising paradigms and/or data structures (possibly slightly modified) for a given problem by analyzing the problem's properties.
3. Implement a solution to a problem using a specified algorithm design paradigm, given sufficient information about the form of that problem's solution.
4. Select, judge and apply promising mathematical techniques (such as asymptotic notations, recurrence relations, amortized analysis and decision trees) to establish reasonably tight upper and lower bounds on the running time of algorithms.
5. Recognize similarities between a new problem and some of the problems they have encountered, and judge whether or not these similarities can be leveraged towards designing an algorithm for the new problem.

## Topics-level Learning Goals<sup>1</sup>

At the end of the course, a student will be able to:

- Asymptotic analysis:

ASA.1 Apply the definitions of  $O$ ,  $\Omega$ , and  $\Theta$  to derive upper and lower bounds on the worst-case running times of algorithms that use loops and conditionals.<sup>4</sup>

ASA.2 Use decision trees to prove lower bounds on the worst-case running time of comparison-based algorithms for problems related to queries about, and ordering of, elements.<sup>4</sup>

ASA.3 Use limits to determine the asymptotic relationship between two functions ( $O$ ,  $o$ ,  $\Omega$ ,  $\omega$  or  $\Theta$ ).<sup>4</sup>

- Reductions:

RED.1 Explain how a reduction can be used to solve an algorithmic problem<sup>2,5</sup>

RED.2 Explain how a reduction can be used to prove a lower bound on the time complexity of any solution to a problem.<sup>2,5</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>The superscripts at the end of each learning goal refer to the course-level learning goal(s) it helps achieve.

- RED.3 Given a problem that is reasonably similar to one discussed in class or seen on an assignment, design a reduction to that problem.<sup>2,5</sup>
- Divide and conquer algorithms:
    - DC.1 Analyze a recursive algorithm to derive a recurrence relation whose solution describes the algorithm's worst-case running time.<sup>4</sup>
    - DC.2 Prove a given upper or lower bound on the solution of a recurrence relation, using mathematical induction.<sup>4</sup>
    - DC.3 Draw a recursion tree that corresponds to the execution of a recursive algorithm, and derive from that recursion tree a summation whose solution is the algorithm's worst-case running time.<sup>4</sup>
    - DC.4 Apply the Master Theorem to obtain quickly the solution to most recurrence relations that arise from divide and conquer algorithms.<sup>4</sup>
    - DC.5 Recognize algorithms that implement the divide and conquer paradigm.<sup>1</sup>
    - DC.6 Design a divide and conquer algorithm for a problem in which the merge step is reasonably straightforward.<sup>3</sup>
    - DC.7 Judge whether or not the divide and conquer paradigm might be appropriate for solving a problem.<sup>2</sup>
  - Randomization:
    - R.1 Explain the usefulness of randomization when constructing a data structure or designing an algorithm.<sup>2,4</sup>
    - R.2 Compare and contrast randomized and deterministic algorithms for a same problem, and analyze advantages and disadvantages of each one.<sup>2,5</sup>
    - R.3 For at least one type of randomized data structure  $D_r$  (for instance, skip lists, randomized binary search trees or treaps) show how each of the supported operations is performed on  $D_r$ .<sup>2</sup>
    - R.4 Derive the expected running time of the operations on a randomized data structure, or of the execution of a randomized algorithm, as a function of the number of elements involved.<sup>4</sup>
  - Greedy algorithms:
    - GA.1 Determine whether or not an algorithm is greedy.<sup>1</sup>
    - GA.2 Sketch a proof showing that a greedy algorithm returns the correct solution, and complete the proof in cases where this proof is similar to a proof the student has already seen.<sup>2,4</sup>
    - GA.3 Develop greedy algorithms for problems where a reasonably straightforward greedy strategy can be applied successfully.<sup>1,3</sup>

- Dynamic programming:
  - DP.1 Recognize that an algorithm uses dynamic programming.<sup>1</sup>
  - DP.2 Determine the parameters that characterize instances of a given optimization problem.<sup>2,3</sup>
  - DP.3 Select and judge promising types of recurrence relation among the variants seen in class and on the assignments, by looking at the parameters that characterize instances of an optimization problem, and use one of them to express the solution to a problem.<sup>2,3,5</sup>
  - DP.4 Transform a recurrence relation for a problem into a dynamic programming algorithm to solve this problem.<sup>3</sup>
- NP-completeness:
  - NP.1 Explain the difference between the classes  $P$  and  $NP$ .<sup>4</sup>
  - NP.2 Write the decision problem corresponding to a given optimization problem, and vice-versa.<sup>4</sup>
  - NP.3 Describe the steps involved in proving that a problem is  $NP$ -complete.<sup>4,5</sup>
  - NP.4 Explain the implications of  $NP$ -completeness on the design of an algorithm to solve a given problem.<sup>2,4,5</sup>
  - NP.5 In cases where a fairly straightforward reduction exists, prove that a specific problem is NP-Complete.<sup>4,5</sup>