## FCG Reading For Midterm

## Tamara Munzner

Lighting/Shading IV Advanced Rendering I

## Week 8, Mon Mar 3

http://www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs314/Vjan2008

- for all homeworks+exams
- good to use fractions/trig functions as intermediate values to show work
- but final answer should be decimal number
- allowed during midterm
- calculator
- one notes page, 8.5 " $\times 11^{\prime \prime}$, one side of page - your name at top, hand in with midterm, will be
handed back handed back
- must be handwritten


## Midterm

- topics covered: through rasterization (H2)
- rendering pipeline
- transforms
- viewing/projection
- rasterization
- topics NOT covered
- color, lighting/shading (from 2/15 onwards)
- H2 handed back, with solutions, on Wed
- Ch 1
- Ch 2 Misc Math (except for 2.5.1, 2.5.3,
2.7.1, 2.7.3, 2.8, 2.9)
- Ch 5 Linear Algebra (only 5.1-5.2.2, 5.2.5)
- Ch 6 Transformation Matrices (except 6.1.6)
- Sect 13.3 Scene Graphs
- Ch 7 Viewing
- Ch 3 Raster Algorithms (except 3.2-3.4, 3.8)

Red Book Reading For Midterm

- Ch Introduction to OpenGL
- Ch State Management and Drawing

Geometric Objects

- App Basics of GLUT (Aux in v 1.1)
- Ch Viewing
- App Homogeneous Coordinates and Transformation Matrices
- Ch Display Lists


## Review: Reflection Equations

- Phong specular model
$\mathbf{I}_{\text {specular }}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{I}_{\text {light }}(\mathbf{v} \bullet \mathbf{r}) n_{\text {shiny }}$


$$
2(\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{L}))-\mathbf{L}=\mathbf{R}
$$

- or Blinn-Phong specular model
$\mathbf{I}_{\text {specular }}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{I}_{\text {light }}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n}) n_{\text {shiny }}$
$\mathbf{h}=(\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{v}) / 2$

Review: Reflection Equations
full Phong lighting model

- combine ambient, diffuse, specular components
$\mathbf{I}_{\text {total }}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{I}_{\text {ambient }}+\sum_{i=1}^{\# \text { lights }} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}\left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbf{n} \bullet \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)+\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{s}}\left({\underline{(\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}}_{\left(n_{\text {shiny }}\right.}\right)\right.$
- don't forget to normalize all vectors: $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{h}$

$$
\leftrightarrows \operatorname{or}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})
$$

- n : normal to surface at point
- I: vector between light and point on surface
- r: mirror reflection (of light) vector
- v : vector between viewpoint and point on surfac
- h : halfway vector (between light and viewpoint)

Review: Lighting

- lighting models
- ambient
- normals don't matter
- Lambert/diffuse
- angle between surface normal and light
- Phong/specular
- surface normal, light, and viewpoint


## Review: Shading Models

- flat shading
- compute Phong lighting once for entire polygon
- Gouraud shading
- compute Phong lighting at the vertices and interpolate lighting values across polygon


## Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- perspective transformations
- affine combinations only invariant under affine, not under perspective transformations
- thus, perspective projection alters the linear interpolation!


## Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- perspective transformation problem
- colors slightly "swim" on the surface as objects move relative to the camera
- usually ignored since often only smal difference
- usually smaller than changes from lighting variations
- to do it right
- either shading in object space
- or correction for perspective foreshortening
- expensive - thus hardly ever done for colors


## Phong Shading

- linearly interpolating surface normal across the facet, applying Phong lighting model at every pixel
- same input as Gouraud shading
- pro: much smoother results
- con: considerably more expensive
- not the same as Phong lighting
- common confusion
- Phong lighting: empirical model to calculate illumination at a point on a surface


## Phong Shading

- linearly interpolate the vertex normals - compute lighting equations at each pixel
- can use specular component



## Phong Shading Difficulties

- computationally expensive
- per-pixel vector normalization and lighting computation!
- floating point operations required
- lighting after perspective projection
- messes up the angles between vectors
- have to keep eye-space vectors around
- no direct support in pipeline hardware
- but can be simulated with texture mapping

Shading Artifacts: Silhouettes

- polygonal silhouettes remain


vertex $B$ shared by two rectangles on the right, but not by the one on the left
first portion of the scanline is interpolated between DE and AC second portion of the scanline is interpolated between BC and GH a large discontinuity could arise


## Shading Models Summary

- flat shading
- compute Phong lighting once for entire polygon
- Gouraud shading
- compute Phong lighting at the vertices and interpolate lighting values across polygon
- Phong shading
- compute averaged vertex normals
- interpolate normals across polygon and perform Phong lighting across polygon

Shutterbug: Flat Shading


Shutterbug: Gouraud Shading


Shutterbug: Phong Shading


## Computing Normals

- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-face normals
OpenGL supports both
- computing normal for a polygon

Computing Normals

- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-facet
normals
- OpenGL supports both
- computing normal for a polygon - three points form two vectors
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## Computing Normals

- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-face - OpenGL supports both
- computing normal for a polygon
 order


## Specifying Normals

- OpenGL state machine
uses last normal specified
- if no normals specified, assumes all identical
- per-vertex normals
$\underset{\substack{\text { giNomali3f(1,1) } \\ \text { giverexe3 }(3,4,5) ;}}{ }$

- per-face normals

- normal interpreted as direction from vertex location
- can automatically normalize (computational cost) glEnable(GL_NORMALIZE),

Global Illumination Models

- simple lighting/shading methods simulate local illumination models
- no object-object interaction
- global illumination models
- more realism, more computation
- leaving the pipeline for these two lectures!
- approaches
- ray tracing
- radiosity
- photon mapping
- subsurface scattering


## Ray Tracing

- simple basic algorithm
- well-suited for software rendering
- flexible, easy to incorporate new effects - Turner Whitted, 1990


Simple Ray Tracing

- view dependent method - cast a ray from viewer's eye through each pixel compute intersection of ray with first object in scene
cast ray from
intersection point on object to light sources



## Reflection

## mirror effects

 perfect specular reflection

## Refraction

- happens at interface between transparent object and surrounding medium - e.g. glass/air boundary
- Snell's Law
- $c_{1} \sin \theta_{1}=c_{2} \sin \theta_{2}$
- light ray bends based on refractive indices $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \mathrm{c}_{2}$

Recursive Ray Tracing

- ray tracing can handle
- reflection (chrome/mirror)
- refraction (glass)
- shadows
- spawn secondary rays
- reflection, refraction
- if another object is hit, - shadow
cast ray from intersection point to light source, check if intersects another object


## Basic Algorithm

## Basic Ray Tracing Algorithm

## RayTrace(r,scene)

obj := FirstIntersection(r,scene)
if (no obj) return BackgroundCo

reflect_color := RayTrace(ReflectRay(r,obj)) else
reflect_color := Black;
if ( Transparent (obj) ) then
refract_color := RayTrace(RefractRay(r,obj) else
return Shade(reflect color,refract color,obj) end;
for every pixel $p_{i}\{$
generate ray $r$ from camera position through pixel $p_{i}$
for every object o in scene $\{$
if ( $r$ intersects 0 )
compute lighting at intersection point, using local normal and material properties; store result in $p_{1}$ else
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ background color
\}


Algorithm Termination Criteria

## - termination criteria

- no intersection
- reach maximal depth
- number of bounces
- contribution of secondary ray attenuated
below threshold
- each reflection/refraction attenuates ray


## Ray-Tracing Terminology

- terminology:
- primary ray: ray starting at camera
- shadow ray
- reflected/refracted ray
- ray tree: all rays directly or indirectly spawned
off by a single primary ray
- note:
- need to limit maximum depth of ray tree to ensure termination of ray-tracing process!

Ray Trees

- all rays directly or indirectly spawned off by a single primary ray



Ray tree

## Ray Tracing

- issues:
- generation of rays
- intersection of rays with geometric primitives
- geometric transformations
- lighting and shading
- efficient data structures so we don't have to test intersection with every object


## Ray Generation

- camera coordinate system
- origin: C (camera position)
- viewing direction: v
- up vector: u
- $\mathbf{x}$ direction: $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{u}$
- note:
corresponds to viewing
transformation in rendering pipeline
- like gluLookAt


## Ray Generation

other parameters:

- distance of camera from image plane:
- image resolution (in pixels): $w, h$
- left, right, top, bottom boundaries in image plane: $l, r, t, b$

- then:
- lower left corner of image: $O=C+d \cdot \mathbf{v}+l \cdot \mathbf{x}+b \cdot \mathbf{u}$ - pixel at position $i, j(i=0 . . w-1, j=0 . . h-1)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, j} & =O+i \cdot \frac{r-l}{w-1} \cdot \mathbf{x}-j \cdot \frac{t-b}{h-1} \cdot \mathbf{u} \\
& =O+i \cdot \Delta x \cdot \mathbf{x}-j \cdot \Delta y \cdot \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ray Generation

- ray in 3D space:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{i, j}(t)=C+t \cdot\left(P_{i, j}-C\right)=C+t \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i, j}
$$

where $t=0 \ldots \infty$

## Ray Tracing

- issues:
- generation of rays
- intersection of rays with geometric primitives
- geometric transformations
- lighting and shading
- efficient data structures so we don't have to test intersection with every object


## Ray - Object Intersections

inner loop of ray-tracing

- must be extremely efficient
task: given an object o , find ray parameter $t$, such that $\mathbf{R}_{i,(t)}$ is a point on the object
- such a value for $t$ may not exist
solve a set of equations
- intersection test depends on geometric primitive
ray-sphere
- ray-triangle
ray-polygon

Ray Intersections: Spheres

- spheres at origin
- implicit function

$$
S(x, y, z): x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=r^{2}
$$

- ray equation
$\mathrm{R}_{i, j}(t)=C+t \cdot \mathbf{v}_{i, j}=\left(\begin{array}{l}c_{x} \\ c_{y} \\ c_{z}\end{array}\right)+t \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}v_{x} \\ v_{y} \\ v_{z}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}c_{x}+t \cdot v_{x} \\ c_{y}+t \cdot v_{y} \\ c_{z}+t \cdot v_{z}\end{array}\right)$


## Ray Intersections: Spheres

- to determine intersection:
- insert ray $\mathbf{R}_{i, j}(t)$ into $S(x, y, z)$ :

$$
\left(c_{x}+t \cdot v_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(c_{y}+t \cdot v_{y}\right)^{2}+\left(c_{z}+t \cdot v_{z}\right)^{2}=r^{2}
$$

- solve for $t$ (find roots)
- simple quadratic equation

Ray Intersections: Other Primitives

- implicit functions
- spheres at arbitrary positions
- same thing
- conic sections (hyperboloids, ellipsoids, paraboloids, cones,
same thing (all are quadratic functions!)
polygons
- first intersect ray with plane
inear implicit function
- then test whether point is inside or outside of polygon (2D test)
- for convex polygons
suffices to test whether point in on the correct side of every
boundary edge
similar to computation of outcodes in line clipping (upcoming)

