

CPSC 311:
Definition of Programming Languages
2016 Winter Term 1

Joshua Dunfield



University of British Columbia

2016-09-07: Lecture 1

`www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs311`

Today

- ▶ Who am I?
- ▶ Hello, what is this course about?
- ▶ Defining “Definition of Programming Languages”
- ▶ Logistics (attendance, marks, etc., etc.)
- ▶ Next time...

Who am I?

“Joshua”

- ▶ When I taught at McGill, some students called me “Sir”. That felt strange.
- ▶ In Germany, I got mail addressed to “Herr Dr. Dunfield”. That felt even stranger.

Who am I?

“Joshua” = Research Associate + Sessional Lecturer

- ▶ A postdoc is sort of halfway between graduate student and professor
- ▶ A “Research Associate” is... halfway between postdoc and professor?
- ▶ I’ve studied programming languages for a long time

Who am I?

“Joshua” = Research Associate + Sessional Lecturer

- ▶ A postdoc is sort of halfway between graduate student and professor
- ▶ A “Research Associate” is... halfway between postdoc and professor?
- ▶ I’ve studied programming languages for a long time
- ▶ I’ve studied Racket for a shorter time...

Who am I?

“Joshua” = Research Associate + Sessional Lecturer

- ▶ A postdoc is sort of halfway between graduate student and professor
- ▶ A “Research Associate” is... halfway between postdoc and professor?
- ▶ I’ve studied programming languages for a long time
- ▶ I’ve studied Racket for a shorter time...

- ▶ I am **not** a “morning person”

Hello, what is this course about?

- ▶ World domination?

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate
- ▶ 1970: PL/I was going to dominate

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate
- ▶ 1970: PL/I was going to dominate
- ▶ 1980: C was going to dominate

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate
- ▶ 1970: PL/I was going to dominate
- ▶ 1980: C was going to dominate
- ▶ 1990: C++ was **totally** going to dominate [Oak paper]

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate
- ▶ 1970: PL/I was going to dominate
- ▶ 1980: C was going to dominate
- ▶ 1990: C++ was **totally** going to dominate [Oak paper]
- ▶ 2000: Java was going to dominate

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate
- ▶ 1970: PL/I was going to dominate
- ▶ 1980: C was going to dominate
- ▶ 1990: C++ was **totally** going to dominate [Oak paper]
- ▶ 2000: Java was going to dominate

Is there some kind of pattern here?

World domination: this time for sure!

- ▶ 196x: Algol was going to dominate
- ▶ 1970: PL/I was going to dominate
- ▶ 1980: C was going to dominate
- ▶ 1990: C++ was **totally** going to dominate [Oak paper]
- ▶ 2000: Java was going to dominate

Is there some kind of pattern here?



(We might be learning, finally...)

311: Unimpressed by fads

- ▶ Not about learning a little about a lot (“a trip to the zoo”)
- ▶ We will focus on learning concepts and methods that should improve PLs in the long run
- ▶ Good PL ideas get adopted, eventually:
automatic memory management in Lisp in the '60s
⇒ Java in the '90s
- ▶ Some hopeful signs that it's getting faster;
Mozilla's Rust has stuff invented only 10-15 years ago.

311: Unimpressed by fads

- ▶ Not about learning a little about a lot (“a trip to the zoo”)
- ▶ We will focus on learning concepts and methods that should improve PLs in the long run
- ▶ Good PL ideas get adopted, eventually:
automatic memory management in Lisp in the '60s
⇒ Java in the '90s
- ▶ Some hopeful signs that it's getting faster;
Mozilla's Rust has stuff invented only 10-15 years ago.



This cat is unimpressed by fads.

Course goals

You will learn how to

- ▶ **Understand** design choices (scope, evaluation order, types. . .) and some arguments for (and against) them
- ▶ **Understand, modify, and reason about** definitions of programming languages
- ▶ **Implement** interpreters for programming languages

Magic-free zone

- ▶ Programming languages aren't magic

Magic-free zone

- ▶ Programming languages aren't magic
- ▶ But they're still lots of fun!

Magic-free zone

- ▶ Programming languages aren't magic
- ▶ But they're still lots of fun!
- ▶ Programming:
“I can tell the computer what to do”

Magic-free zone

- ▶ Programming languages aren't magic
- ▶ But they're still lots of fun!
- ▶ Programming:
“I can tell the computer what to do”
- ▶ Programming languages:
“I can tell the computer **how to understand the instructions**”

Definition of “Programming Languages”

What is a programming language?

- ▶ A way to instruct computers

Definition of “Programming Languages”

What is a programming language?

- ▶ A way to instruct computers
- ▶ A well-defined way to instruct computers

Definition of “Programming Languages”

What is a programming language?

- ▶ A way to instruct computers
- ▶ A well-defined way to instruct computers
- ▶ A well-defined way to instruct computers, using symbols

Definition of “Programming Languages”

What is a programming language?

- ▶ A way to instruct computers
- ▶ A well-defined way to instruct computers
- ▶ A well-defined way to instruct computers, using symbols

Computers compute.

A programming language is a

precise, symbolic description of a set of possible computations.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

Caveats:

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

Caveats:

- ▶ “Symbolic”: occasional attempts at visual PLs (Smalltalk-80? Logo? Prograph)

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

Caveats:

- ▶ “Symbolic”: occasional attempts at visual PLs (Smalltalk-80? Logo? Prograph)
- ▶ “Precise” is often aspirational...

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

- ▶ **Programmers** need precision so they know what programs are supposed to do.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

- ▶ **Programmers** need precision so they know what programs are supposed to do.
- ▶ Language **implementors** need precision so they know how to implement (interpret, compile, translate to another language) a language.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

- ▶ **Programmers** need precision so they know what programs are supposed to do.
- ▶ Language **implementors** need precision so they know how to implement (interpret, compile, translate to another language) a language.
- ▶ Unfortunately, most PLs are defined using English; a few are defined using math/logic.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** method of describing computations.

- ▶ **Programmers** need precision so they know what programs are supposed to do.
- ▶ Language **implementors** need precision so they know how to implement (interpret, compile, translate to another language) a language.
- ▶ Unfortunately, most PLs are defined using English; a few are defined using math/logic.
- ▶ Unclear what **can** be defined, and what **should** be defined:
“The C language does not exist”
(from *Communications of the ACM*)

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** description of a set of possible computations.

- ▶ A key idea in programming language research:
There are deep connections between (some) PLs and (some) **logics**.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** description of a set of possible computations.

- ▶ A key idea in programming language research:
There are deep connections between (some) PLs and (some) **logics**.
- ▶ PL = system of computation
logic = system of reasoning

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** description of a set of possible computations.

- ▶ A key idea in programming language research:
There are deep connections between (some) PLs and (some) **logics**.
- ▶ PL = system of computation
logic = system of reasoning
- ▶ A proof of “if X , then Y ” is like a function of type $X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition of Programming Languages

A programming language is a **precise, symbolic** description of a set of possible computations.

- ▶ A key idea in programming language research:
There are deep connections between (some) PLs and (some) **logics**.
- ▶ PL = system of computation
logic = system of reasoning
- ▶ A proof of “if X , then Y ” is like a function of type $X \rightarrow Y$.
- ▶ We’ll probably only touch on this in 311.
If you are intrigued, talk to Ron Garcia about 509!

Three sides of PLs

- ▶ 1. **Syntax** describes **which sequences of symbols are reasonable**.
- ▶ 2. **Dynamic semantics** describes **how to run programs**.
- ▶ 3. **Static semantics** describes **what programs are**.

1. Syntax

- ▶ Syntax is (usually) the easiest to define, understand, and process.

1. Syntax

- ▶ Syntax is (usually) the easiest to define, understand, and process.
- ▶ Racket makes it even easier than usual!

(By accident: the inventors of Lisp designed a more complex syntax, but the simple syntax had already spread. For once, simplicity won.)

1. Syntax

- ▶ Syntax is (usually) the easiest to define, understand, and process.

- ▶ Racket makes it even easier than usual!

(By accident: the inventors of Lisp designed a more complex syntax, but the simple syntax had already spread. For once, simplicity won.)

- ▶ We won't spend much time on syntax.

2. Dynamic semantics

Dynamic semantics is about **how** programs behave:

- ▶ Dynamic semantics tells you how to “step” a program.
- ▶ You can't ride a bus effectively unless you know that buses tend to move forward.

3. Static semantics

Static semantics is about **what** programs are.

- ▶ Static semantics tells you how to understand a program **without** stepping it.
- ▶ You don't want to experimentally ride every bus until you get where you want to be.
(“See where it takes you”?!)

Defining dynamic semantics

- ▶ **Rules** define how to step a program:

$$\frac{V1 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad V2 \in \mathbb{Z} \quad n = V1 + V2}{(+ \ V1 \ V2) \longrightarrow n}$$
$$\frac{E1 \longrightarrow E2}{(V \ E1 \ \dots) \longrightarrow (V \ E2 \ \dots)}$$

- ▶ Reminiscent of the “laws of computation” from *How to Design Programs*: BSL Intermezzo

Defining static semantics

- ▶ A [static] **type system** keeps out sort-of-nonsense:

(+ "no" 1)

Defining static semantics

- ▶ A [static] **type system** keeps out sort-of-nonsense:

(+ "no" 1)

- ▶ Like stepping, type systems can be defined by rules.

$$\frac{E1 : \text{number} \quad E2 : \text{number}}{(+ E1 E2) : \text{number}}$$

Prerequisites

- ▶ Official prerequisite: CPSC 210
- ▶ At least as helpful: CPSC **110**
 - ▶ ...because in 110, you programmed in Racket.

Prerequisites

- ▶ Official prerequisite: CPSC 210
- ▶ At least as helpful: CPSC **110**
 - ▶ ...because in 110, you programmed in Racket.
 - ▶ If you don't know Racket (Scheme), you'll need to spend extra time on 311, especially in the first few weeks!

Prerequisites

- ▶ Official prerequisite: CPSC 210
- ▶ At least as helpful: CPSC **110**
 - ▶ ...because in 110, you programmed in Racket.
 - ▶ If you don't know Racket (Scheme), you'll need to spend extra time on 311, especially in the first few weeks!
 - ▶ If you've forgotten Racket, you'll need to spend some extra time.

Texts

- ▶ We will roughly follow my lecture notes from 2015W1, supplemented with other readings.
- ▶ Everything we use will be available for free on the web.

Lectures

- ▶ Mix and match:
slides, DrRacket on my laptop, whiteboard,
camera projector, . . .
- ▶ We will sometimes develop code, rules, or ideas **on the fly**.
(Probably less often than last year.)
- ▶ I do **not** grade attendance or participation.
- ▶ But you'll do better if you attend and participate, especially
since we're not strictly following a textbook.
- ▶ My lecture notes will be **intended** to be complete,
but intent is not magic.

TAs

- ▶ Tutorials in X-Wing 008 (at least for now) by 4/5ths of your TAs:
 - ▶ Mon. 11:00–12:00 Joey Eremondi
 - ▶ Mon. 14:00–15:00 Samuel Hutchinson
 - ▶ Tue. 15:00–16:00 Alec Thériault
 - ▶ Tue. 16:00–17:00 Khurram Ali Jafery

5th TA:

- ▶ Tianyang (Thomas) Liu
- ▶ TA office hours (probably in X150) to be determined

Piazza

- ▶ Discussions on our Piazza site:

<https://piazza.com/ubc.ca/winterterm12016/cpsc311/home>

Marking

- ▶ **Assignments** 40%
 - ▶ Some assignments in groups
 - ▶ No project, this year
- ▶ **Midterm exam:** 15%
- ▶ **Final exam:** 45%
- ▶ Midterm/final are “all’s well that ends well”:
 - ▶ If your final exam score is **higher** than your midterm score, the final is “inflated” to 60%.

Marking

- ▶ **Assignments** 40%
 - ▶ Some assignments in groups
 - ▶ No project, this year
- ▶ **Midterm exam:** 15%
- ▶ **Final exam:** 45%
- ▶ Midterm/final are “all’s well that ends well”:
 - ▶ If your final exam score is **higher** than your midterm score, the final is “inflated” to 60%.
- ▶ **You must pass the final to pass the course.**

Marking

- ▶ **Assignments** 40%
 - ▶ Some assignments in groups
 - ▶ No project, this year
- ▶ **Midterm exam:** 15%
- ▶ **Final exam:** 45%
- ▶ Midterm/final are “all’s well that ends well”:
 - ▶ If your final exam score is **higher** than your midterm score, the final is “inflated” to 60%.
- ▶ **You must pass the final to pass the course.**
- ▶ The instructor reserves the right to modify these weights (but does not anticipate exercising that right).

Assignments

- ▶ Partly **programming** (mostly in Racket):
 - ▶ implementing **dynamic semantics** by writing interpreters (**stepping** programs according to rules)
 - ▶ implementing **static semantics** by writing type checkers, according to rules

- ▶ Partly **theory**
(is theory anything that isn't programming?)

General advice

- ▶ **This is a 300-level course that requires significant effort.**
- ▶ If you are having personal problems, such as illness, it's better to tell someone **early**.
- ▶ If you are having trouble with the material, get help:
post on Piazza,
come to office hours,
talk to other students.

Survey

- ▶ Mostly for me to decide how much time to spend on Racket review

Next time...

`www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs311`

- ▶ Start refreshing your Racket: 110 material, HtDP, etc. (see website)
- ▶ Skim “Intermezzo: BSL” from HtDP (caveats)

