# CS221: Algorithms and Data Structures 

# Priority Queues and Heaps 

Alan J. Hu

(Borrowing slides from Steve Wolfman)

## Learning Goals

After this unit, you should be able to:

- Provide examples of appropriate applications for priority queues and heaps
- Manipulate data in heaps
- Describe and apply the Heapify algorithm, and analyze its complexity


## Today's Outline

- Trees, Briefly
- Priority Queue ADT
- Heaps
- Implementing Priority Queue ADT
- Focus on Create: Heapify
- Brief introduction to d-Heaps


## Tree Terminology

root:
leaf:
child:
parent:
sibling:
ancestor:
descendent:
subtree:


## Tree Terminology Reference

root: the single node with no parent leaf: a node with no children child: a node pointed to by me parent: the node that points to me sibling: another child of my parent ancestor: my parent or my parent's ancestor descendent: my child or my child's descendent subtree: a node and its descendents

We sometimes use degenerate versions
 of these definitions that allow NULL as the empty tree. (This can be very handy for recursive base casess!)

## More Tree Terminology

depth: \# of edges along path from root to node depth of $H$ ?


## More Tree Terminology

height: \# of edges along longest path from node to leaf or, for whole tree, from root to leaf height of tree?


## More Tree Terminology

degree: \# of children of a node degree of $B$ ?


## More Tree Terminology

branching factor: maximum degree of any node in the tree

2 for binary trees, our usual concern; 5 for this weird tree


## One More Tree Terminology Slide

binary: branching factor of 2 (each child has at most 2 children)
$n$-ary: branching factor of $n$
complete: "packed" binary tree; as many nodes as possible for its height

nearly complete: complete plus some nodes on the left at the bbttom

## Trees and (Structural) Recursion

A tree is either:

- the empty tree
- a root node and an ordered list of subtrees

Trees are a recursively defined structure, so it makes sense to operate on them recursively.

## Today's Outline

- Trees, Briefly
- Priority Queue ADT
- Heaps
- Implementing Priority Queue ADT
- Focus on Create: Heapify
- Brief introduction to d-Heaps


## Back to Queues

- Some applications
- ordering CPU jobs
- simulating events
- picking the next search site
- Problems?
- short jobs should go first
- earliest (simulated time) events should go first
- most promising sites should be searched first


## Priority Queue ADT

- Priority Queue operations
- create
- destroy
- insert
- deleteMin
- isEmpty
- Priority Queue property: for two elements in the queue, $x$ and $y$, if $x$ has a lower priority value than $y, x$ will be deleted before $y$


## Applications of the Priority Q

- Hold jobs for a printer in order of length
- Store packets on network routers in order of urgency
- Simulate events
- Select symbols for compression
- Sort numbers
- Anything greedy: an algorithm that makes the "locally best choice" at each step


## Naïve Priority Q Data Structures

- Unsorted list:
- insert:
- deleteMin:
- Sorted list:
- insert:
- deleteMin:
a. $\mathrm{O}(\lg \mathrm{n})$
b. $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
c. $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \lg \mathrm{n})$
d. $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
e. Something else


## Today's Outline

- Trees, Briefly
- Priority Queue ADT
- Heaps
- Implementing Priority Queue ADT
- Focus on Create: Heapify
- Brief introduction to d-Heaps


## Binary Heap Priority Q Data Structure

- Heap-order property
- parent's key is less than or equal to children's keys
- result: minimum is always at the top
- Structure property
- "nearly complete tree"
- result: depth is always $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$; next open location always known


WARNING: this has NO SIMILARITY to the "heap" you hear about when people say "objects you create with new go on the heap".

## Nifty Storage Trick

- Calculations:
- child:
- parent:
- root:
- next free:


| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 20 |  |

## (Aside: Steve numbers from 1.)

- Calculations:
- child:
- parent:
- root:
- next free:


| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 20 |  |

Steve like to just skip using entry 0 in the array, so the root is at index 1. For a binary heap, this makes the calculations slightly shorter.

## Insert

pqueue.insert(3)


Invariant violated! What will we do?

## Percolate Up



## Insert Code

```
void insert(Object o) {
    assert(!isFull());
    newPos =
        percolateUp(size,o);
    size++;
    Heap[newPos] = 0;
}
```

int percolateUp(int hole,
Object val) \{
while (hole > 0 \&\&
val < Heap[(hole-1)/2])
Heap [hole] = Heap[(hole-1)/2];
hole $=($ hole-1) $/ 2$;
\}
return hole;
\}
runtime:

## DeleteMin

pqueue.deleteMin()


Invariants violated! DOOOM!!!

Percolate Down


## Finally...



## DeleteMin Code

```
Object deleteMin() {
    assert(!isEmpty());
    returnVal = Heap[0];
    size--;
    newPos =
        percolateDown(0,
        Heap[size]);
    Heap[newPos] =
        Heap[size];
    return returnVal;
}
runtime:
```


## Today's Outline

- Trees, Briefly
- Priority Queue ADT
- Heaps
- Implementing Priority Queue ADT
- Focus on Create: Heapify
- Brief introduction to d-Heaps


## Closer Look at Creating Heaps

To create a heap given a list of items:
Create an empty heap.
For each item: insert into heap.

Time complexity?
a. $\mathrm{O}(\lg \mathrm{n})$
b. $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$
c. $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n} \lg \mathrm{n})$
d. $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
e. None of these


## A Better BuildHeap

Floyd's Method. Thank you, Floyd.

| 12 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

pretend it's a heap and fix the heap-order property!

Invariant violated!
Where can the order invariant be violated in general?
a. Anywhere
b. Non-leaves


## Alan's Aside:

- I don't really like the way Steve explains this.
- Heaps are recursive (mostly, except for structure):
- A single node is a heap.
- If parent value less than its child(ren), and child(ren) are heaps (except for "nearly complete" property).
- Think of enforcing the heap invariant from the bottom up!
- Base Case: All nodes with no children are heaps already.
- Inductive Case: My children are heaps. Percolate my value down, and that makes me a heap, too.

Build(this)Heap


## Finally...


runtime:

## Build(any)Heap



This is as many violations as we can get. How do we fix them? Let's play colouring games!

## Build(any)Heap



Alan's Aside: I like to think of this instead as "charging" edges in the tree for the cost of the moves. We can work out a scheme where each edge pays only once.
(A 1-1 correspondence!)

## Build(any)Heap



Alan's Aside: The proof that this always works is inductive. The inductive step is that both of my subtrees have an uncharged path (rightmost) to the leaves. I charge my cost to my left child, and my right child provides the rightmost, uncharged path that I offer to my parent.

## Alan's Aside

- Alternatively, we can do this with algebra.
- Consider a complete heap:
- As we do percolate-down on bottom row, the cost is 0 , each. There are roughly $\mathrm{n} / 2$ nodes on bottom row.
- On next row up, the cost is 1 , each. There are roughly $\mathrm{n} / 4$ nodes on second row.
- On the kth row up, the cost is k- 1 times $\mathrm{n} /\left(2^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}\right)$ nodes on that row.
- Therefore, run time is $\sum_{i=1}^{\log n}(i-1) \frac{n}{2^{i}} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \frac{n}{2^{i+1}}=\frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}=n$


## Alan's Aside

- The last sum is tricky...
- Think of the 2 s as $1+1$; the 3 s , as $1+1+1$; etc.
- Now, add up a "layer" of 1s for the whole tree.
- Then, add up a layer of 1 s for the part of the tree where the cost was 2 or more.
- Then, add up a layer of 1 s for the part of the tree where the cost was 3 or more.
- Etc.


## Alan's Aside

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}=\frac{0}{2^{0}}+\frac{1}{2^{1}}+\frac{2}{2^{2}}+\frac{3}{2^{3}}+\ldots
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2^{1}}+\frac{1+1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1+1+1}{2^{3}}+\ldots
$$

$$
=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\sum_{i=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\ldots
$$

## Alan's Aside

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\sum_{i=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}+\ldots
$$

$$
=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2^{j-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i}}\right)
$$

$$
=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}\right)=2
$$

Steve's Version of Alan's Aside

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}=\frac{1}{2}+\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i-1}}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i+1}{2^{i}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{i}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2^{i}}+1\right)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}(S+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Today's Outline

- Trees, Briefly
- Priority Queue ADT
- Heaps
- Implementing Priority Queue ADT
- Focus on Create: Heapify
- Brief introduction to d-Heaps


## Thinking about Binary Heaps

- Observations
- finding a child/parent index is a multiply/divide by two
- operations jump widely through the heap
- deleteMins look at all (two) children of some nodes
- inserts only care about parents of some nodes
- inserts are at least as common as deleteMins
- Realities
- division and multiplication by powers of two are fast
- looking at one new piece of data sucks in a cache line
- with huge data sets, disk accesses dominate


## Solution: d-Heaps

- Nodes have (up to) $d$ children
- Still representable by array
- Good choices for $d$ :
- optimize (non-asymptotic) performance based on ratio of inserts/removes

- make $d$ a power of two for efficiency
- fit one set of children in a cache line
- fit one set of children on a memory page/disk block
d-heap mnemonic:
d is for degre e !


## d-Heap calculations

Calculations in terms of $d$ :

- child:
- parent:

- root:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 5 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- next free:

Alan's Aside: Easier to work pattern if you count from zero!
d-heap mnemonic:
d is for degret

## d-Heap calculations

Calculations in terms of $d$ :

- child: $i^{*} \mathrm{~d}+1$ through $\mathrm{i}^{*} \mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{d}$
- parent: floor((i-1)/d)
$-\operatorname{root}: 0$


$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 5 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- next free: size

Alan's Aside: Easier to work pattern if you count from zero!
d-heap mnemonic:
d is for degreet

## (Steve's d-Heap calculations)

Calculations in terms of $d$ :

- child:
- parent:
- root:


$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline & 1 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 4 & 8 & 5 & 12 & 11 & 10 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- next free:
d-heap mnemonic:
d is for degre ${ }^{4}$ !


## (Steve's d-Heap calculations)

Calculations in terms of $d$ :

- child: $(\mathrm{i}-1)^{*} \mathrm{~d}+2$ through $\mathrm{i}^{*} \mathrm{~d}+1$
- parent: floor((i-2)/d) + 1
- root: 1


|  | 1 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- next free: size+1
d-heap mnemonic:
d is for degre ${ }^{\text {d }}$.


## Rest of Today's Learning Goals

- Get comfortable with $\mathrm{C}++$ pointers, understand the * and \& operators.
- Draw diagrams to help understand code that manipulates pointers.


## C++ Reference Parameters

- \& in a formal parameter makes the parameter another name for the argument that was passed in!
- It's not a copy of the value of the argument, the way normal parameter passing works.


## C++ Reference Parameters

void swap(int $x$, int $y)\{$ int $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}$;
$x=y$;
$y=t ;$
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout $\ll$ a <<", " << b;
void swap(int \&x, int \&y) \{ int $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}$;
$x=y ;$
$y=t ;$
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap $(a, b)$;
cout << a <<", " \ll b;

## C++ Reference Parameters

void swap(int $x$, int $y)\{$ int $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}$;
$x=y$;
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{t}$;
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout << a <<", " << b;
void swap(int \&x, int \&y) \{ int $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{x}$;
$x=y ;$
$y=t ;$
\}
-••
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout << a <<", " \ll b;

## Old-School C (and C++)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { void swap(i } \\
& \text { int *t }=x ; \\
& x=y ; \\
& y=t ;
\end{aligned}
$$

int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout << a <<", " << b;
void swap(int *x, int *y) \{
int $\mathrm{t}={ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$;

* $x={ }^{*} y$;
* $y=t ;$
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap $(a, b)$;
cout << a <<", " \ll b;


## Old-School C (and C++)

void swap(int *x, int *y) \{ int ${ }^{*} t=x$;
$x=y$;
$\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{t}$;
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout $\ll$ a <<", " << b;
void swap(int *x, int *y) \{ int $\mathrm{t}={ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$;

* $x={ }^{*} y$;
*y $=\mathrm{t}$;
\}
-••
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout << a <<", " << b;


## Old-School C (and C++)

void swap(int *x, int *y) \{ int t = *x;

* $x={ }^{*} y$;
* $y=t ;$
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(\&a,\&b);
cout << a <<", " << b;
void swap(int *x, int *y) \{
int $t={ }^{*} x$;
* $x={ }^{*} y$;
${ }^{*} y=t ;$
\}
-••
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout << a <<", " \ll b;


## Old-School C (and C++)

void swap(int *x, int *y) \{ int $\mathrm{t}={ }^{*} \mathrm{x}$;

* $x={ }^{*} y$;
* $y=t ;$
\}
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(\&a,\&b);
cout << a << ", " << b;
void swap(int *x, int *y) \{ int $t={ }^{*} x$;
* $x={ }^{*} y$;
*y $=\mathrm{t}$;
\}
-••
int $a=0$; int $b=1$;
swap(a,b);
cout << a <<", " << b;

