

Attempt any **three** of the **six** problems below. The homework is graded on a scale of 100 points, even though you can attempt fewer or more points than that. Your recorded grade will be the total score on the problems that you attempt.

1. **(30 points)** For each language below, determine whether or not it is Turing decidable. If it is Turing decidable, describe a Turing machine that decides it. If it is not decidable, show this using a reduction from a problem shown to be undecidable in *Sipser*, from lectures, or earlier homework or midterm 2.
 - (a) **(10 points)** $\{M \mid M \text{ describes a TM that halts when run with the empty string for input.}\}$
 - (b) **(10 points)** $\{M \mid M \text{ describes a TM with exactly 42 states.}\}$
 - (c) **(10 points)** $\{M \mid M \text{ describes a TM that accepts exactly 42 strings.}\}$
2. **(30 points)** Same instructions as for problem 1.
 - (a) **(10 points)** $\{M \mid M \text{ describes a TM that decides the halting problem.}\}$
 - (b) **(10 points)** $\{M \mid M \text{ never writes the symbol 0 on two consecutive moves.}\}$
 - (c) **(10 points)** $\{M \mid M \text{ describes a TM that decides every string, } w, \text{ after at most } \sqrt{|w|} + 12 \text{ moves.}\}$
3. **(35 points, Sipser problems 5.22, 5.23 and 24)**
 - (a) **(10 points)** Show that A is Turing-recognizable iff $A \leq_m A_{TM}$.
 - (b) **(10 points)** Show that A is Turing-decidable iff $A \leq_m 0^*1^*$.
 - (c) **(15 points)** Let $J = \{w \mid \text{either } w = 0x \text{ for some } x \in A_{TM} \text{ or } w = 1y \text{ for some } y \notin A_{TM}\}$. Show that neither J nor \bar{J} is Turing-recognizable.
4. **(40 points, Rice's Theorem: from Sipser problem 5.29)**

Rice's Theorem (see *Sipser* problem 5.28): Let

$$A = \{M \mid M \text{ describes a TM such that } p(M).\}$$

Where p satisfies the following two properties:

- (1) p is non-trivial: there is at least one TM, M_1 , such that $p(M_1)$ is true and at least one TM, M_2 , such that $p(M_2)$ is false.
- (2) p is a property of the *language* recognized by M .

Then, A is not Turing decidable.

Sipser gives a proof for this theorem in the solution to problem 5.28.

- (a) **(20 points, Sipser problem 5.29)** Sipser's proof shows that the two conditions stated above for p are *sufficient* to prove that A is not Turing decidable. Show that both conditions are also *necessary*.
- (b) **(10 points)** Use Rice's theorem to prove that

$$B = \{M \mid \text{Every string accepted by } M \text{ has an equal number of a's and b's.}\}$$

is not Turing decidable.

- (c) **(10 points)** Use Rice's theorem to prove that

$$C = \{M \mid M \text{ recognizes a context-free language.}\}$$

is not Turing decidable.

5. (45 points) Let

$$E = \{M_1 \# M_2 \mid M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ describe TMs such that } L(M_1) = L(M_2).\}$$

Prove that E is complete for class Π_2 of the arithmetic hierarchy (see the Nov. 7 slides). This means that there is a Turing computable reduction from any language in Π_2 to E , and a Turing computable reduction from E to some language in Π_2 . You may use the fact that $TOTAL$ is complete for Π_2 , where

$$TOTAL = \{M \mid M \text{ is a decider.}\}$$

6. (50 points, adapted from *Kozen*) Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$ be a TM that never overwrites its input. Formally,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ImmutableInput}(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject}) \\ & = \forall q, q' \in Q. \forall c, c' \in \Gamma. \forall d \in \{L, R\}. ((\delta(q, c) = (q', c', d)) \wedge (c \in \Sigma)) \Rightarrow (c = c') \end{aligned}$$

M can write anything it wants on the portion of the tape that is initially blank.

- (a) (30 points) Prove that for any M with $\text{ImmutableInput}(M)$, $L(M)$ is regular.
- (b) (10 points) Show that the language

$$F_1 = \{M \mid \text{ImmutableInput}(M)\}$$

is Turing decidable.

- (c) (10 points) Show that the language

$$F_2 = \{M \# w \mid \text{ImmutableInput}(M) \wedge (w \in L(M))\}$$

is not Turing decidable.