## Homework 10

Attempt any **three** of the **six** problems below. The homework is graded on a scale of 100 points, even though you can attempt fewer or more points than that. Your recorded grade will be the total score on the problems that you attempt.

- 1. (20 points, Sipser problems 5.17 and 5.18)
  - (a) (10 points) Prove that the Post Correspondence Problem is decidable if the alphabet is unary, i.e.,  $\Sigma = \{1\}$ .
  - (b) (10 points) Prove that the Post Correspondence Problem is undecidable if the alphabet is binary, i.e.,  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}.$
- 2. (30 points, Sipser problem 5.21) Let  $AMBIG_{CFG} = \{G \mid G \text{ describes an ambiguous CFG}\}$ . Show that  $AMBIG_{CFG}$  is undecidable. (Hint: Use a reduction from *PCP*. Given a PCP instance

$$P = \left\{ \left[ \frac{t_1}{b_1} \right], \left[ \frac{t_2}{b_2} \right], \dots, \left[ \frac{t_k}{b_k} \right] \right\},$$

construct a CFG G with the rules

$$S \rightarrow T \mid B$$
  

$$T \rightarrow t_1 T a_1 \mid \dots \mid t_k T a_k \mid t_1 a_1 \mid \dots \mid t_k a_k$$
  

$$B \rightarrow b_1 B a_1 \mid \dots \mid b_k B a_k \mid b_1 a_1 \mid \dots \mid b_k a_k,$$

where  $a_1 \dots a_k$  are new terminal symbols. Prove that this reduction works.)

- 3. (**35** points, Sipser problem 5.26) Define a *two-headed finite automaton* (2HDFA) to be a deterministic finite automaton that has two read-only, bidirectional heads that start at the left-hand end of the input tape and can be independently controlled to move in either direction. The tape of a 2HDFA is finite and is just large enough to contain the input plus a left-endmarker, ⊢, and a right-endmarker, ⊣. A 2HDFA may not move either of its heads beyond either delimeter. A 2HDFA accepts by entering a special accept state.
  - (a) (10 points) Describe a 2HDFA that recognizes the language  $\{a^nb^nc^n \mid n \ge 0\}$ . You don't need to specify all the details of the transition function. Just write a few sentences explaining how it works.
  - (b) (10 points) Let  $A_{2HDFA} = \{M \# w \mid M \text{ describes a 2HDFA that accepts } w\}$ . Show that  $A_{2HDFA}$  is Turing-decidable.
  - (c) (15 points) Let  $E_{2HDFA} = \{M \mid M \text{ describes a 2HDFA such that } L(M) = \emptyset\}$ . Show that  $E_{2HDFA}$  is not Turing-decidable. (Hint: use computational histories.)
- 4. (35 points, See Sipser problem 5.31) Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 3x+1, & \text{if } x \text{ is odd} \\ x/2, & \text{if } x \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for any integer  $x \ge 0$ . Starting from x, obtain the sequence x, f(x), f(f(x)), .... Stop if you ever reach 1. This sequence is known as the "hailstone" sequence for x. For example if x = 23, then you get the sequence: 23, 70, 35, 106, 53, 160, 80, 40, 20, 10, 5, 16, 8, 4, 2, 1. Extensive comptuer tests have showen the every starting point from 1 through  $2.88 \times 10^{18}$  produces a sequence that ends in 1 (see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Collatz\_conjecture). The Collatz conjecture is that all positive starting points end up at 1, and this conjecture is unsolved.

Show that the Collatz conjecture is Turing-reducible to  $TOTAL_{TM}$ .

Note: Sipser seems to be asking to show a reduction to  $A_{TM}$  but I couldn't think of any way to do that.

CpSc 421

- 5. (35 points, Sipser problem 5.34) Let P be a PDA and  $WW = \{ww \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\}$ . Use computational histories to show that the question of whether P accepts some string in WW is undecidable.
- 6. (45 points) The RSA encryption method relies on the assumption that it is difficult to factor large numbers. However, no one knows whether or not there is a polynomial time algorithm for factoring. However, there does exist a polynomial time algorithm that will determine whether or not the integer represented by N (a binary string) is prime or composite, but if N is composite, this algorithm doesn't determine the factors.

Now, consider a TM, M, that does the following when run with input N, a binary encoding of an integer:

- 1. Check if N is prime (e.g., using the algorithm described above). If N is prime, M writes the string "0" on its tape and halts. Otherwise, it continues to step 2.
- 2. Find f a factor of N with 1 < f < N. M then writes the binary encoding of f on its tape and halts.

Describe how you can implement the second step of this algorithm with a method that will find f in polynomial time iff there is a polynomial time algorithm for factoring. In other words, your algorithm should be one that runs in polynomial time if factoring is polynomial, and in super-polynomial time otherwise.

Hints: (1) Use diagonalization. (2) Remember that big-O analysis ignores constant factors, even **\*really big\*** ones.