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## Objectives

- Understand how reduce combines values using a tree.
- Describe the performance issues for reduce: trade-offs of time for computation and time for communication
- Describe 2 or 3 examples or reduce.


## CPSC 418 Poetry Competition

- The competition:
- Everyone writes a poem.
- Everyone submits to poem to Mark (the contest judge).
- Mark reads all of the poems, compares them, selects the best poem.
- The winner receives an original manuscript of the complete poems of Li Bai, signed by the author.


## Sequential Time for the Poetry Competition

- $N$ students in the class.
- $t_{\text {rank }}$ to read and rank two poems.
- Total time $(N-1) t_{r a n k}$.
- Works fine until $N$ becomes so large that we can't judge all the poems in a reasonable amount of time.


## Parallel poetry: the procedure



- Clone $P$ copies of Mark.
- Each Mark-clone reads and ranks $N / P$ poems and sends the best poem to the original Mark.
- The original Mark receives $P$ candidates for the best poem, and selects the best one.
- The winner receives the prize.


## Parallel poetry: time

- The time for each of the $P$ clones to select the best poem out of $N / P$ :
- The time from when all $P$ clones start until all $P$ clones finish:
- The time for the original Mark to rank the $P$ finalists:
- Simplify this to get $\qquad$
- SpeedUp $=\frac{T_{\text {seq }}}{T_{\text {par }}}=$


## Bureaucratic Overhead

- To satisfy UBC privacy policies, the messages between the Mark-clones and the original mark must be sent in special envelopes.
- There's lots of special procedure for handling these envelopes, takes time $\lambda$ to send or receive a message.
- The original Mark receives $P$ messages from the $P$ clones. This takes time $\lambda P$.
- The total time is now:
- SpeedUp $=\frac{T_{\text {seq }}}{T_{\text {par }}}=$

Can we do better?

## Revenge of the Clones

- While Mark is working through the pile of envelopes, some of the clones realize that they could pair up and combine their results.
- This costs $\lambda$ time, the clones have to follow the rules as well.
- The original Mark ends up with half as many envelopes to handle.
- What is the total time? $\qquad$
- What is the speed-up?


## Up a Tree with Poetry



- The optimization on the previous slide worked great.
- We're computer scientists, let's apply the optimization recursively.
- Viewed from another angle, this is an example of divide-and-conquer.
- Combine the results in a tree.
- How many levels in the tree?
- How much time at each level?
- What is the total time? $\qquad$
- What is the speed-up? $\qquad$


## Is there more to life than poetry?

- Find the largest element in a list.
- Find the sum of the elements in a list.
- Count the number of $3 s$ in a list.
- What to these all have in common?
- We'll look at more examples on Friday


## The Reduce Pattern

- We have a problem that takes $T_{\text {seq }}(N)$ sequential time, where $N$ is the "size" of the problem instance.
- We can divide this into $P$ tasks with "perfect" speed-up:
- Each task takes time $T_{\text {seq }}(N) / P$ time.
- Combining the results takes $\left\lceil\log _{2}(P)\right\rceil \lambda$ time.
- SpeedUp $=\frac{T_{\text {seq }}(N)}{T_{\text {seq }}(N) / P+\left|\log _{2}(P)\right| \lambda}$
- What happens to SpeedUp as $P$ goes large (for fixed $N$ )?
- What happens to SpeedUp as $N$ goes large (for fixed $P$ )?
- Assume $T(N)$ grows faster than $\log N$.


## When can we use reduce?

- We have $N$ values, $V_{1}, V_{2}, V_{3}, \ldots V_{N}$.
- We want to compute them with some operator, o. I.e. we want:

$$
\text { Total }_{\text {seq }}=V_{1} \circ V_{2} \circ V_{3} \circ \cdots \circ V_{N}
$$

- I'll assume the sequential computation is left-to-right, so

$$
\text { Total }_{\text {seq }}=\left(\cdots\left(\left(V_{1} \circ V_{2}\right) \circ V_{3}\right) \circ \cdots\right) \circ V_{N}
$$

- Using reduce, we do these operations in clusters of $N / P$, and then combine the results:


## Total ${ }_{\text {reduce }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(V_{1} \circ V_{2}\right) \circ V_{3}\right) \circ \cdots\right) \circ V_{N / P}\right) \\
\circ & \left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(V_{(N / P)+1} \circ V_{(N / P)+2}\right) \circ V_{(N / P)+3}\right) \circ \cdots\right) \circ V_{2 N / P}\right) \\
\circ & \left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(V_{(2 N / P)+1} \circ V_{(2 N / P)+2}\right) \circ V_{(2 N / P)+3}\right) \circ \cdots\right) \circ V_{3 N / P}\right) \\
\cdots & \left(\left(\left(\cdots\left(\left(V_{((P-1) N / P)+1} \circ V_{((P-1) N / P)+2}\right) \circ V_{((P-1) N / P)+3}\right) \circ \cdots\right) \circ V_{N}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

- A sufficient condition is: $(X \circ Y) \circ Z=X \circ(Y \circ Z)$.
- What term describes an operator with this property?


## Reduce is a Higher Order Function

- reduce(WorkerTree, Leaf, Combine) -> Result
- WorkerTree: a collection of worker processes, organized as a tree.
* "Organized" means each process knows who its parent and children processes are so it can send and receive the messages needed for reduce.
- Leaf: a function - what to do at each leaf to produce a result to combine using reduce.

औ In our poetry example, Leaf finds the best poem in its subset of all poems submitted to the contest.

- Combine (Left, Right) : a function - the operation to be applied at each node.
- Result: the value computed at the root of the tree.
- Reduce as implemented in the CpSc 418 Erlang library.
- Example, count3s using wtree: reduce

```
count3s(WorkerTree, Key) ->
    wtree:reduce (WorkerTree,
        fun(ProcState) -> count3s_leaf(ProcState, Key) end,
        fun(Left, Right) -> count3s_combine(Left, Right) end
    ).
count3s_leaf(ProcState, Key) ->
    MyList = workers:get(ProcState, Key),
    length([E || E <- MyList, E =:= 3]).
count3s_combine(Left, Right) -> Left+Right.
```

- The code is available at reduce_intro.erl.


## count 3 s notes (1/2)

- WorkerTree: a tree of workers.
- To create a tree of Nworker workers, call wtree:create (Nworkers).
- When your done, you can clean up by calling wtree:reap (WorkerTree).
- ProcState: Erlang is functional, how do workers remember anything?
- Each worker executes a tail-recursive "get-a-task" function that is called with ProcState as a parameter.
- This function does a receive to get a new Task (blocking if no task is ready).
$\star$ NewProcState $=$ Task(ProcState).
$\star$ Task is called with ProcState as a parameter.
* Task returns an updated process state, NewProcState.
- The worker recursively calls its get-a-task function, with NewProcState as the process state parameter.
- ProcState is an Erlang key-list (i.e. a dictionary).
- workers: get (ProcState, Key) : fetch the value for Key from ProcState. Called by a worker process.
- NewProcState = workers:put (ProcState, Key, Value) : create a new process-state where Key maps to Value, and all other mappings are the same as in ProcState. Called by a worker process.
- workers:update (Workers, Key, ValueList): Called by the top-level process. ValueList should be a list with one element per worker. The elements of ValueList are stored in the ProcState of the corresponding workers with the key Key.
- workers:retrieve (Workers, Key) -> ValueList: fetch the value associate with Key for each worker in Workers.
- Frequently, we have a list distributed across the workers. In this case, workers:retrieve (Workers, Key) returns a list of the form [List1, List2, . . ListP] where $P$ is the number of workers, and ListI is the segement of the list held by worker I.
- To merge these segements into one list:
lists:append(workers:retrieve(Workers, Key))


## Testing count 3 s

```
count3s_test(N_workers, N_values)
    when is_integer(N_workers), N_workers >= 0,
    is_integer(N_values), N_values >= 0 ->
    WorkerTree = wtree:create(N_workers),
    % create a random list of N_values integers chosen in [1,10], distribute
    % it across the workers of WorkerTree and associate it with the key 'data'.
    workers:rlist(WorkerTree, N_values, 10, data),
    Par3s = count3s(WorkerTree, data),
    Data = lists:append(workers:retrieve(WorkerTree, data)),
    Seq3s = length([E | | E <- Data, E == 3]),
    case Par3s =:= Seq3s of
    true ->
            io:format("passed: N_values = ~b, Par3s = ~b~n",
                    [N_values, Par3s]),
            ok;
    false ->
        io:format("failed: N_values = ~b, Par3s = ~b, Seq3s = ~b~n",
                        [N_values, Par3s, Seq3s]),
        fail
    end.
```


## Preview

September 14: Reduce - The Pattern
Reading: Lin \& Snyder, chapter 5, pp. 112-125
September 17: Scan
Homework: Homework 1 deadline for early-bird bonus (11:59pm)
Homework 2 goes out (due Oct. 1) - Reduce and Scan
September 19: Reduce \& Scan Examples
Homework: Homework 1 due 11:59pm
September 21-26: Parallel Architecture
Sept. 28 - Oct. 5: Performance Analysis
October 8-15: Sorting
October 17: Intro. to CUDA
October 19: Midterm review
October 22: Midterm
Oct. 24 - Nov. 30: Data Parallel Computing, GPUs, and CUDA

