

# Bitonic Sorting

Mark Greenstreet

CpSc 418 – Nov. 20, 2012

# Lecture Outline

- Bitonic Sequences
- Bitonic Merge
- Bitonic Sort

# Bitonic Sequences

- Definition

- ▶ A sequence is **bitonic** iff it consists of an ascending sequence followed by a descending sequence or vice-versa.
- ▶ More formally,  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  is bitonic iff

$$\exists 0 \leq k < n - 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall 0 \leq i < k. x_i \leq x_{i+1}) \wedge (\forall k \leq i < n - 1. x_i \geq x_{i+1}) \\ \vee & (\forall 0 \leq i < k. x_i \geq x_{i+1}) \wedge (\forall k \leq i < n - 1. x_i \leq x_{i+1}) \end{aligned}$$

- Examples:

- ▶ [0, 2, 4, 8, 10, 9, 7, 5, 3]
- ▶ [10, 9, 7, 4, 0, 2, 4, 6, 9, 14]
- ▶ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]
- ▶ []
- ▶ **but not [1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3]**

# Properties of Bitonic Sequences

- Subsequences of bitonic sequences are bitonic:
  - ▶ If  $x$  is bitonic and has length  $n$ , and
  - ▶ if  $0 \leq i_0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{m-1} < n$ ,
  - ▶ then  $[x_{i_0}, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-1}}]$  is bitonic.
  - ▶ This generalizes to  $k$ -tonic sequences, but we'll only need the bitonic version.
- If  $x$  is an up $\rightarrow$ down bitonic sequence, then so is `reverse(x)`. Likewise for down $\rightarrow$ up sequences.

# Bitonic Sort in Erlang

```
% sort(List, Up)
%   Sort List using the bitonic sorting algorithm.
%   If Up, sort the elements of List into ascending order.
%   Otherwise, sort them into descending order.
sort([], _) -> [];
sort([A], _) -> [A];
sort(X, Up) ->
  {X0, X1} = lists:split((length(X)+1) div 2, X),
  {Y0, Y1} = { sort(X0, Up), sort(X1, not Up) },
  merge(Y0 ++ Y1, Up).  % Note: Y0 ++ Y1 is bitonic
```

## Example:

- Original list: [24, 46, 2, 12, 98, 16, 67, 78].
- Split into two lists: [24, 46, 2, 12] and [98, 16, 67, 78].
- Sort the first list ascending and the second descending:  
[2, 12, 24, 46] and [98, 78, 67, 16]
- Concatenate the two lists (bitonic result): [2, 12, 24, 46, 98, 78, 67, 16]
- Perform bitonic merge: [2, 12, 16, 24, 46, 67, 78, 98]

# Bitonic Merge in Erlang

```
% merge(X, Up)
%   X is a bitonic sequence.
%   Return Y where Y is a list of the elements of X
%   in ascending order if Up is true and in descending order otherwise.
merge([A], _) -> [A]; % base case
merge(X, Up) -> % recursive case
    % split X into "even" and "odd" indexed sublists
    {X0, X1} = unshuffle(X),
    Y0 = merge(X0, Up), % recursively merge each sublist
    Y1 = merge(X1, Up),
    order([], shuffle(Y0, Y1), Up). % compare-and-swap on even-odd pairs.
```

## Example:

- List to merge: [2, 12, 24, 46, 98, 78, 67, 16]
- Unshuffle into even and odd lists: [2, 24, 98, 67] and [12, 46, 78, 16].
- Recursively merge each list: [2, 24, 67, 98] and [12, 16, 46, 78].
- Shuffle the merged sublists: [2, 12, 24, 16, 67, 46, 98, 78].
- Compare-and-swap even-odd pairs: [2, 12, 16, 24, 46, 67, 78, 98].

# The order function

```
% order(Acc, List, Up) % compare-and-swap even-odd pairs of List
%   into ascending order if Up is true, and descending order otherwise.
%   The result is assembled in Acc.
%   Note, this is a tail-recursive implementation that reverses the order
%   of List in the process. That's OK because shuffle is tail recursive
%   as well and does another reverse that we cancel.
order(Acc, [], _) -> Acc;
order(Acc, [A], _) -> [A | Acc];
order(Acc, [A, B | T], Up) ->
    order(
        if
            (A == B) or ((A < B) == Up) -> [A, B | Acc];
            true -> [B, A | Acc]
        end,
        T, Up
    ).
```

# Why Bitonic Merge Works

- Let  $X$  be a monotonically increasing sequence of 0's and 1's.
  - ▶ E.g.  $X = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1]$ .
- Let  $Y$  be a monotonically decreasing sequence of 0's and 1's.
  - ▶ E.g.  $Y = [1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ .
- Let  $Z = \text{concat}(X, Y)$ . Note:  $Z$  is bitonic.
  - ▶ E.g.  $Z = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ ,  
 $= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ ,  
 $Z_0 = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]$ , %  $Z_0$  is bitonic  
 $Z_1 = [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0]$ , %  $Z_1$  is bitonic.
- The number of 1's in  $Z_0$  and  $Z_1$  are nearly equal.
  - ▶ If the sequence of 1's in  $Z$  starts and ends at even-indexed elements, then  
NumberOfOnes( $Z_0$ ) = NumberOfOnes( $Z_1$ ) + 1.
  - ▶ If the sequence of 1's in  $Z$  starts and ends at odd-indexed elements, then  
NumberOfOnes( $Z_0$ ) = NumberOfOnes( $Z_1$ ) - 1.
  - ▶ Otherwise, NumberOfOnes( $Z_0$ ) = NumberOfOnes( $Z_1$ ).
- At most one compare-and-swap is needed at the end.



## For example...

- Continuing with our earlier example:

$$\begin{aligned}Z &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\Z_0 &= [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0], \\Z_1 &= [0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0].\end{aligned}$$

- Recursively apply the merge procedure to  $Z_0$  and  $Z_1$  to get sorted lists,  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}S_0 &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1], \\S_1 &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1]\end{aligned}$$

- Shuffle  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  to get  $Y$ :

$$Y = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]$$

- Continued on next slide.

## continued example

- Coloring  $Y$  to highlight odd-even pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1], && \% \text{ from prev. slide} \\ &= [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1], && \% \text{ show even-odd pairs} \end{aligned}$$

- Note that there is one pair that needs to be swapped. Applying a compare-and-swap to each even-odd pair yields:

$$S = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]$$

- $S$  is sorted.

## More formally

- Let  $Z$  be a bitonic sequence of 0's and 1's
  - ▶ Let  $n$  be the length of  $Z$ . Index the elements of  $Z$  from 0 to  $n - 1$ .
  - ▶ If  $Z$  is all 0's, the bitonic network trivially sorts it.
  - ▶ Otherwise, let  $i$  be the index of the first 1 in  $Z$  and  $j$  be the index of the last 1.
- Let  $X$  be the even-indexed elements of  $Z$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{length}(X) &= \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \\ x_k &= 0, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k < \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil \text{ or } \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor < k < \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \\ &= 1, \quad \text{if } \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor\end{aligned}$$

- Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the sorted elements of  $X$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{x}_k &= 0, \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k < \lceil \frac{i}{2} \rceil + \left( \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right), \\ &= 1, \quad \text{otherwise}\end{aligned}$$

- Continued (next slide)

## More formally (slide 2)

- Likewise, let  $Y$  be the odd-indexed elements of  $Z$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  be the sorted elements of  $Y$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\text{length}(Y) &= \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \\ y_k &= 0, && \text{if } 0 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor \text{ or } \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \\ &= 1, && \text{if } \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil \\ \tilde{y}_k &= 0, && \text{if } 0 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + \left( \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil \right) \\ &= 1, && \text{otherwise}\end{aligned}$$

## If $n$ is even

- Let,

$$q_k = \tilde{x}_{k/2}, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even}$$

$$q_k = \tilde{y}_{(k-1)/2}, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd}$$

$$r_k = \min(q_k, q_{k+1}), \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even}$$

$$r_k = \max(q_{k-1}, q_k), \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd}$$

Claim:  $r_k$  is sorted. Need to show  $\forall 1 \leq k < n. r_{k-1} \leq r_k$ .

- If  $k$  is odd, the claim follows directly from the definition of  $r$ .
- If  $k$  is even, we need to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \max(q_{k-2}, q_{k-1}) \leq \min(q_k, q_{k+1}) \\ \equiv & \max(\tilde{x}_{m-1}, \tilde{y}_{m-1}) \leq \min(\tilde{x}_m, \tilde{y}_m) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m = k/2$ .

- Because  $\tilde{x}_{m-1} \leq \tilde{x}_m$  and  $\tilde{y}_{m-1} \leq \tilde{y}_m$  it is sufficient to show  $\tilde{x}_{m-1} \leq \tilde{y}_m$  and  $\tilde{y}_{m-1} < \tilde{x}_m$ .

$n$  is even: show  $\tilde{x}_{m-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{y}_m = 1$

- Equivalently, we can show  $\tilde{x}_{m-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{y}_m = 1$  and  $\tilde{y}_{m-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{x}_m = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{x}_{m-1} = 1, && \text{case assumption} \\ \Rightarrow & m - 1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{i}{2} \right\rceil + \left( \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right), && \text{def. } \tilde{x}, i, \text{ and } j \text{ (slide 11)} \\ \Rightarrow & m \geq \left\lceil \frac{i}{2} \right\rceil + \left( \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor \right), && \text{add 1 to both sides} \\ \Rightarrow & m \geq \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor + \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lceil \frac{j}{2} \right\rceil \right), && \left\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lceil \frac{j}{2} \right\rceil \\ \Rightarrow & \tilde{y}_m = 1, && \text{def. } \tilde{y} \text{ (slide 12)} \end{aligned}$$

$n$  is even: show  $\tilde{y}_{m-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \tilde{x}_m = 1$

$\tilde{y}_{m-1} = 1,$  case assumption

$\Rightarrow m - 1 \geq \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + \left( \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor \right),$  def.  $\tilde{y}$ ,  $i$ , and  $j$  (slide 12)

$\Rightarrow m \geq \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + \left( \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor \right) + 1,$  add 1 to both sides

$\Rightarrow m \geq \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + \left( \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right),$   $\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor - 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor$

$\Rightarrow m \geq \lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor + \left( \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor - 1 \right),$   $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$  because  $n$  is even

$\Rightarrow \tilde{x}_m = 1,$  def.  $\tilde{x}$  (slide 11)

## If $n$ is odd

- Let,

$$q_k = \tilde{x}_{k/2}, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even}$$

$$q_k = \tilde{y}_{(k-1)/2}, \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd}$$

$$r_0 = q_0,$$

$$r_k = \min(q_k, q_{k+1}), \quad \text{if } k \text{ is odd}$$

$$r_k = \max(q_{k-1}, q_k), \quad \text{if } k \text{ is even}$$

Claim:  $r_k$  is sorted. Need to show  $\forall 1 \leq k < n. r_{k-1} \leq r_k$ .

- Proof: similar to the  $n$  is even case. I'll write up the details for the posted slides.
- $\therefore$  bitonic merge is correct



# Structure of a bitonic sorting network

# Performance of bitonic sorting

# Bitonic sort on real computers

# Upcoming Lectures

- Nov. 22: GPUs and CUDA  
Read Dally and Nickolls, “The GPU Computing Era”
- Nov. 27: Parallel Model Checking  
Read Bingham<sup>2</sup>, de Paula, Erickson, Singh, and Reitblatt,  
“Industrial Strength Distributed Explicit State Model Checking”
- Nov. 29: Map-Reduce