Peril-L, Reduce, and Scan

Mark Greenstreet

CpSc 448B - Oct. 20, 2011

Mark Greenstreet ()

Peril-L, Reduce, and Scan

CpSc 448B - Oct. 20, 2011 0 / 22

Lecture Outline

Peril-L

- A notation based on the CTA model
- Control and synchronization
- Local vs. remote data access.
- Reduce and Scan operators

Peril-L

- "Pidgin" langauge for describing parallel algorithms and programs.
- Based on CTA model
- Uses C-like language with extensions:
 - Each processor has its own, local memory
 - One processor can access the memory of another processor, but such global accesses take λ units of time.
 - forall, exclusive and barrier
 - distinction between global and local memory
 - reduce and scan operations

CTA: Summary

	CPU	CPU	CPU	CPU	CPU	CPU
	MEM	MEM	MEM	MEM	MEM	MEM
	net	net	net	net	net	net
	net	net	net	net	net	net
	MEM	MEM	MEM	MEM	MEM	MEM
	CPU	CPU	CPU	CPU	CPU	CPU
CTA				Peril-L		
Fixed number of processors				Focus on scalable parallelism		
Each processor is a RAM				Unit cost for local operations		
Explicit communication				Identify remote accesses		
Bo	bunded-c	legree net	work	No direct correspondence		

forall

Expressing multiple threads in Peril-L:

```
forall (integer variable in range) {
    body
}
```

- One thread is created for each value in *range*.
- The bodies for each thread execute in parallel.
- forall statements may be nested.
- There is an implicit barrier at the end of the loop:
 - The program does not progress beyond the forall statement until all threads have completed their body.

Say hello - first attempt

Program source:

```
char **who = { "Anne", "Bob", "Charlie", "Diane",
                               "Elmer", "Francine" };
forall(i in (0..5)) {
    printf(``%s says `hello'.\n'', who[i]);
}
tout:
```

Output:

```
Diane says 'hello' Bob.
AnnElmer says says 'helloFrancine says Charliee'
say ```hehehehe ssays llllloo'.
l'lo'o'...
```

Why?

.

exclusive

- exclusive { *body* }
- Only one thread can execute *body* at a time.
 - Other threads will block.
 - In other words, exclusive provides mutual exclusion.

Say hello, second attempt

• Program source:

```
char **who = { "Anne", "Bob", "Charlie", "Diane",
                      "Elmer", "Francine" };
forall(i in (0..5)) {
        exclusive {
                      printf(``%s says `hello'.\n'', who[i]);
        }
    }
• Output:
    Elmer says `hello'.
    Diane says `hello'.
```

```
Anne says 'hello'.
Charlie says 'hello'.
```

```
Francine says 'hello'.
Bob says 'hello'.
```

barriers

- A forall statement can include a barrier statement.
- All threads of the forall must reach the barrier before any threads continue beyond it.

```
char **who = { "Anne", "Bob", "Charlie", "Diane", "Elmer
forall(i in (0..5)) {
    exclusive {
        printf(``%s says `hello'.\n'', who[i]);
    }
    barrier;
    exclusive {
        printf(``%s says `good-bye'.\n'', who[i]);
    }
}
```

Variables and Memory

- global(i.e. shared) and local (i.e. per-thread) variables.
- localize
- EF ("empty/full") variables.

Global and local variables

- If a variable is declared outside of a forall statement, it is global and shared by all threads of the forall.
 - In keeping with the CTA model, an access to a global variable cost λ time units.
 - Global variables are indicated by underlining the variable name.
- If a variable is declared in the body of a forall statement, it is local. There is a separate, private instance of the variable for each thread.
 - A local variable can be accessed in unit time.

Localize

- In the CTA model, there are global variables but no global memory.
- The localize statement is used to partition the storage of an array amongst the processors of a forall loop.

```
int allData[n];
forall(threadID in (0..P-1)) {
    int size = mySize(allData[], 0);
    int locData[size] = localize(allData[]);
    ...
}
```

Localize (details)

- localize tells the compiler that it should distribute the storage of the array amongst the processors of the forall.
- It doesn't actually move data from some (non-existent) global store to local memory; therefore, this declaration doesn't take time at runtime.
- References to the local portion of the array, e.g. locData, are local to the processor and performed in unit time.
 - Array indices for the local array start a 0 for each thread, regardless of where the reference is in the global array.
 - localToGlobal (<u>allData</u>, i, j, ...), returns the global index (flattening the array to one dimension, I assume), corresponding to the local indices i, j,
- References to the global array, e.g. <u>allData</u> are global references and take λ time units.
 - Concurrently accessing an array by its localized and global versions is a bad idea.

Empty/Full variables

- An empty/full variable is a global variable for implementing flow control (e.g. unbuffered messages).
- Writing to an empty variable gives the variable a value, and fills it.
 - Attempting to write to a full variable stalls until the variable is read and thus becomes empty.
- Reading from a full variable gives gets the value and marks the variable as empty.
 - Attempting to read from an empty variable stalls until the variable is written and thus becomes full.
- Reads and writes of empty/full variables are global operations that take λ time units (plus any time for stalls).

Empty/Full in Peril-L

In Peril-L, an empty/full variable is a global variable with a prime at the end of its name:

- E.g. int <u>q'</u> = 2;
- If initialized, then initially full, with that value.
- Otherwise, intially empty.
- Full/empty values can be arrays and/or structs, in which case all elements and/or fields are full-empty variables.

Reduce

- Reduce: op/ localExpression
 - Each thread in the current forall evaluates localExpression and the results are combined using op to produce the value for the expression.
 - All threads get the same value this value can be assigned to a local or global variable or used in a bigger expression.
- Scan: op/ *localExpression*
 - Each thread in the current forall evaluates localExpression and the results are combined using op.
 - Thread i gets the result of applying op to the values of localExpression for threads 0...i.
- Both reduce and scan also function as barriers.

Reduce Example

```
double globalData[] = {1.0, ...M};
forall(i in (0..P-1)) {
    localData = localize(globalData);
    localSum = 0.0;
    n = mySize(globalData, 0);
    for(j = 0; i < n; i++)
        localSum += localData[j];
    grandTotal = +/ localSum;
}
```

- Assume M = 1000000 and P = 10.
- After the inner for-loop, thread i will have

localSum =
$$\sum_{j=i*100000+1}^{(i+1)*100000} j$$

= 100000 * (100000 * i + 50000.5)

• After the reduce, each thread will have grandTotal (a separate, local variable for each thread) equal to the sum of all the localSum

Mark Greenstreet ()

Peril-L, Reduce, and Scan

Scan Example

```
double globalData[] = {1.0, ...M};
forall(i in (0..P-1)) {
    localData = localize(globalData);
    localSum = 0.0;
    n = mySize(globalData, 0);
    for(j = 0; j < n; i++)
        localSum += localData[i];
    grandTotal = +\ localSum;
}
```

- Assume M = 100 and P = 10.
- After the inner for-loop, thread i will have localSum = 100 * *i* + 55 (i.e. thread 0 gets 55, thread 1 gets 155, thread 2 gets 255, ...).

• After the scan, each thread will have grandTotal (a separate, local variable for each thread) equal to the sum of all the localSum for threads up to and including its own: thread 0 gets 55, thread 1 gets 210, thread 3 gets 465,

Mark Greenstreet ()

Reduce In Peril-L

```
1.int nodeval'[P];
2.
 3.forall(index in(0..P-1)) {
 4. int tally;
 5. stride=1;
 6. tally = compute local tally;
7. while(stride < P) { % reduce tree
8.
         if(index%(2 \times stride) == 0) {
9.
            tally = tally + nodeval'[index+stride];
10.
           stride = 2*stride;
11. } else {
12.
         nodeval'[index]=tally;
13.
14. } % if(index...)
15. \} % while(stride ; P)
16.} % forall(index...)
```

Generalized Reduce

Mark Greenstreet ()

Generalized Scan

Mark Greenstreet ()

Announcements and reminders

Oct. 27: work allocation

Read the rest of Lin & Snyder, Chapter 5, *Assigning Work to Processes Statically* through the end of *Chapter Summary*.

Nov. 1: introduction to MPI

- ► Read Lin & Snyder, Chapter 7, MPI: The Message Passing Interface through the end of MPI: The Message Passing Interface → Safety Issues (pp. 202–229).
- Alan Wagner will be the guess lecturer on Nov. 1.
- More info on MPI at

https://computing.llnl.gov/tutorials/mpi/

Review

- How does Peril-L distinguish local and remote memory accesses?
- Does the Peril-L machine model include local memory?
- Does the Peril-L machine model include global memory?
- What is an empty/full variable?
- Try the examples from Lin & Snyder chapter 5, *The Reduce and Scan Abstractions* → *Example of Generalize Reduce and Scan.*
- Peril-L has an *exclusive* operator. Think about how you would implement this in Erlang. For example, you might want a process to be able to "lock" stdout while writing a multi-line message. Hint: use a process.