CPSC 320 Notes: Programming competitions, CPSC 320 and Divide-and-Conquer

October 4, 2018

You have been put in charge of sending invitation emails to students whom the department of Computer Science and the department of Electrical and Computer Engineering would like to join one of the teams that UBC sends to the ACM international programming competition. You decide to contact the students who obtained the top k grades in CPSC 320 over the last year.

1

${\bf Algorithmic\ Largest\ } k {\bf\ grades}$
1. Assume you're given n students along with their CPSC 320 grades, and you want to get the student with the top k grades. Specify and solve at least two small examples.
2. There are several ways of solving the problem of finding the students with the highest k grades Design and describe short algorithms with the following properties:(a) An algorithm based on the max function.

(b) An algorithm based on sorting.

(c)	An algorithm that uses a max-heap.
Now	give good asymptotic bounds on the runtime of each algorithm
	An algorithm based on the max function.
()	O
(b)	An algorithm based on sorting.
(c)	An algorithm that uses a max-heap.
	Now (a)

	t's switch problems to a somewhat similar one. Imagine you simply want to find the k -th grade. ¹
k- pa	dapt your small instances above into instances of this problem—including choosing values of—and solve them. (Note: nothing on this page is a reduction between this and the previous ge's problems. We're just taking advantage of the fact that these problems are similar to avoid inking too hard!)
	dapt the algorithm based on the max function to solve this new problem and give a good ymptotic bound on its runtime.
	dapt the algorithm based on sorting to solve this new problem and give a good asymptotic bund on its runtime.
` /	dapt the algorithm that uses a max-heap to solve this new problem and give a good asymptotic bund on its runtime.
common	element to search for would be the median. If you can solve the problem of finding the \$k\$-th largest, then

4.

A common element to search for would be the median. If you can solve the problem of finding the \$k\$-th largest, then you can solve the median problem as well by setting $k = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.

2 Analysing QuickSort

Remember the QuickSort algorithm:

```
// Note: for simplicity, we assume all elements of A are unique
QuickSort(list A):
   If length of A is greater than 1:
      Select a pivot element p from A // Let's assume we use A[1] as the pivot
   Let Lesser = all elements from A less than p
   Let Greater = all elements from A greater than p
   Let LesserSorted = QuickSort(Lesser)
   Let GreaterSorted = QuickSort(Greater)
   Return the concatenation of LesserSorted, [p], and GreaterSorted
Else:
   Return A
```

1. Assuming that QuickSort gets "lucky" and happens to always selects the $\lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil$ -th largest element as its pivot, give a recurrence relation for the runtime of QuickSort.

2. Draw a recursion tree for QuickSort labeled on each node by the number of elements in the array at that node's call (n) and the amount of time taken by that node (but not its children); also label the total time for each "level" of calls. (For simplicity, ignore ceilings, floors, and the effect of the removal of the pivot element on the list sizes in recursive calls.)

Find the following two quantities. <i>Hint</i> : if you describe the problem size at level i as a function of i (like $i^2 + \frac{1}{2}i$), then you can set that equal to the problem size you expect at the leaves and solve for i .
(a) The number of levels in the tree down to the shallowest leaf (base case):
(b) The number of levels in the tree down to the deepest leaf:
Use these to asymptotically upper- and lower-bound the solution to your recurrence. (Note: if, on
average, QuickSort takes two pivot selections to find a pivot at least this good, then your upper-bound
also upper-bounds QuickSort's average-case performance.)
Draw the specific recursion tree generated by QuickSort([10, 3, 5, 18, 1000, 2, 100, 11, 14]).
Assume QuickSort: (1) selects the first element as pivot and (2) maintains elements' relative order when producing Lesser and Greater.
when producing needer and dreater.

3 Largest k grades Winner (for Median)

Let's return to the k-th largest problem. We'll focus our attention on the median, but ensure we can generalize to finding the k-th largest element for any $1 \le k \le n$. The median in the call to QuickSort([10, 3, 5, 18, 1000, 2, 100, 11, 14]) is the 5th largest element, 11.

- 1. Mark the nodes in your specific recursion tree above in which the median (11) appears. (The first of these is the root.)
- 2. Look at the second recursive call you marked—the one below the root. 11 is **not** the median of the array in that recursive call!
 - (a) What is the median of the array in that recursive call?
 - (b) For what k is the median of that array the k-th largest?
 - (c) For what k is 11 the k-th largest element of that array?
 - (d) How does that relate to 11's original k value, and why?
- 3. Look at the **third** recursive call you marked. For what k is 11 the k-th largest element of that array? How does that relate to 11's k value in the first recursive call, and why?
- 4. If you're looking for the 42nd largest element in an array of 100 elements, and Greater has 41 elements, where is the element you're looking for?
- 5. How could you determine **before** making QuickSort's recursive calls whether the *k-th* largest element is the pivot or appears in Lesser or Greater?

- 6. Modify the QuickSort algorithm above to make it a k-th largest element-finding algorithm. (Really, go up there and modify it directly with that pen/pencil you're holding. Change the function's name! Add a parameter! Feel the power!)
- 7. Give a good asymptotic bound on the average-case runtime of your algorithm by summing the runtime of only the $\frac{3n}{4}$ branch of your abstract recursion tree for QuickSort.

4 Challenge

Note: assume all elements are unique for the first two problems below.

- 1. Compare the average-case performance of your QuickSelect algorithm above against the performance of one that picks a random pivot (rather than using the first element).
- 2. Explain how this statement can possibly make sense for the random-pivot version of QuickSelect: "There is no difference between the best- and worst-case performance of this algorithm." Note: we instead use "expected performance" to describe this scenario.
- 3. Which one is better and why: good average-case performance or good expected performance?
- 4. Compare the actual (not asymptotic) number of comparisons made by the standard algorithm for finding the largest element of a list and QuickSelect used to do the same, assuming QuickSelect always "gets lucky" and picks the median of what remains as its pivot.