## CPSC 320: Tutorial 12

1. (from Problem 34-1 CLRS) An independent set of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a subset $S \subseteq V$ of vertices such that no two vertices in $S$ are joined by an edge. We want to find the maximum size independent set in $G$, but all our attempts at an efficient algorithm have failed.

- Specify a related decision problem for this problem and prove that it is NP-complete. (Hint: Reduce from CLIQUE.)
- How would you use an efficient algorithm for your decision problem to solve the original problem efficiently? In other words, can you find a maximum size independent set efficiently by using a "black box" that efficienty solves your decision problem?
- What does this say about the liklihood of finding an efficient algorithm for the original problem?


## 2. (from Problem 34-3 CLRS) Graph coloring

A $\boldsymbol{k}$-coloring of an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ is a function $c: V \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that $c(u) \neq c(v)$ for every edge $(u, v) \in E$. In other words, the numbers $1,2, \ldots, k$ represent the $k$ colors, and adjacent vertices must have different colors. The graph-coloring problem is to determine the minimum number of colors needed to color a given graph.

- Cast the graph-coloring problem as a decision problem. Show that your decision problem is solvable in polynomial time if and only if the graph-coloring problem is solvable in polynomial time.
- Let the language 3 -COLOR be the set of graphs that can be 3 -colored. Show that if 3 -COLOR is NP-complete, then your decision problem is NP-complete.

To prove that 3 -COLOR is NP-complete, we use a reduction from 3-SAT. Given a formula $\phi$ of $m$ clauses on $n$ variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, we construct a graph $G=(V, E)$ as follows. The set $V$ consists of a vertex for each variable, a vertex for the negation of each variable, 5 vertices for each clause, and 3 special vertices: true, false, and red. The edges of the graph are of two types: "literal" edges that are independent of the clauses and "clause" edges that depend on the clauses. The literal edges form a triangle on the special vertices and also form a triangle on $x_{i}, \overline{x_{i}}$, and RED for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

- In any 3-coloring $c$ of a graph containing the literal edges, exactly one of a variable and its negation is colored $c$ (TRUE) and the other is colored $c$ (FALSE). Why?
- Any truth assignment (whether it satisfies $\phi$ or not) corresponds to a 3 -coloring of the graph formed from just the literal edges. Why?

The widget shown below is used to enforce the condition corresponding to a clause ( $x \vee y \vee z$ ). Each clause requires a unique copy of the 5 vertices that are heavily shaded in the figure; they connect as shown to the literals of the clause and the special vertex true.


- Argue that if each of $x, y$, and $z$ is colored $c$ (TRUE) or $c$ (FALSE), then the widget is 3 -colorable if and only if at least one of $x, y$, or $z$ is colored $c$ (TRUE).
- Complete the proof that 3-COLOR is NP-complete.

