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| Assignment 3 (project) <br> Due April 1 <br> Reading <br> Chapter 11.8, 10 |  |



## Idea: perceptually based measurement

Shine given wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) on a screen
User must control three pure lights producing three other wavelengths (say $\mathrm{R}=700 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{G}=546 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $B=438 \mathrm{~nm}$ )
Adjust intensity of RGB until colors are identical

| Negative Lobes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actually: <br> Exact target match <br> possible sometimes <br> requires "negative light" |
| Some red has to be added to target color to permit exact <br> match using "knobs" on RGB intensity output <br> Equivalent mathematically to removing red from RGB <br> output |
| anmunts |


| Notation | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Don't confuse: |  |
| Primaries: the spectra of the three different light sources: R, G, B |  |
| For the matching experiments, these were monochromatic (I.e. single wavelength) light! |  |
| -Primaries for displays usually have a wider spectrum |  |
| - Specify how much of R, G, B is in a given color |  |
| - Color matching functions: $\mathrm{r}(\lambda), \mathrm{g}(\lambda), \mathrm{b}(\lambda)$ |  |
| Specify how much of $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{B}$ is needed to produce a color that is a metamer for pure monochromatic light of wavelength $\lambda$ |  |


ClE Canct and $\lambda$ Chronnaticity
Diagran
3D gamut
Chromaticity oliagram
Hue only, no intensity

| Color Interpolation, Dominant \& Opponent Wave |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |


| RGB Color Space (Color Cube) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Define colors with $(r, g, b)$ |  |
| amounts of |  |
| red, green, and blue |  |
| Used by OpenGL |  |
| Hardware-centric |  |
| Describes the colors that can be |  |
| generated with specific RGB light |  |
| sources |  |
| RGB color culbe sits within CIE |  |
| Subset of perceivable colors |  |
| Scaled, rotated, sheared cube |  |




| Shadows |
| :--- |
| Types of light sources |
| - Point, directional |
| Area lights and generally shaped lights |
| - Not considered here |
| - Later: ray-tracing for such light sources |
| Problem statement |
| A shadow algorithm for point and directional lights |
| determines which scene points are |
| - Visible from the light source (I.e. illuminated) |
| - Invisible from the light source (I.e. in shadow) |
| - Thus: shadow casting is a visibility problem! |


| Types of Shadow Algorithms |
| :--- |
| Object Space |
| Like object space visibility algorithms, the method |
| computes obiject space which polygon parts that are |
| illuminated and which are in shadow |
| - Individual parts are then drawn with different intensity |
| - Typically slow, O(n^2), not for dynamic scenes |
| Image Space |
| Determine visibility per pixel in the final image |
| - Sort of like depth buffer |
| - Shadow maps |
| - Shadow volumes |


| Credils |
| :--- | :--- |
| The following shadow mapping slides are taken from |
| Mark Kilgard's OpenGL course at Siggraph 2002. |

## Shadow Mapping Concept (2)

## Shadow determination with the

 depth mapSecond, render scene from the eye's point-of-view For each rasterized fragment

- Determine fragment's XYZ position relative to the light
- This light position should be setup to match the frustum used to create the depth map
Compare the depth value at light position XY in the depth map to fragment's light position $Z$


## The Shadow Mapping <br> Concept (3)

The Shadow Map Comparison
Two values

- $A=Z$ value from depth map at fragment's light $X Y$ position
$B=Z$ value of fragment's $X Y Z$ light position
If $B$ is greater than $A$, then there must be something closer to the light than the fragment

Then the fragment is shadowed
If $A$ and $B$ are approximately equal, the fragment is lit

## Shadow Mapping

 with a Picture in 2D (1)The $A<B$ shadowed fragment case



| Visualizing the Shadow <br> Mapping Technique (2) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Compare with and without shadows |  |
| with shadows |  |
| without shaclows |  |



| Visualizing the Shadow |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mapping Technique (5) |
| Projecting the depth map onto the eye's <br> view |



| Visualizin Mapping | Shadow que (7) | UBCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Complete scene with shadows |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Notice how } \\ \text { specelur } \\ \text { neverighights } \\ \text { ner appar } \\ \text { in shadoous }}}{ }$ |  |  |



## About <br> Projective Texturing (1)

UBC

First, what is perspective-correct texturing?
Normal 2D texture mapping uses ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}$ ) coordinates
2D perspective-correct texture mapping
Means $(s, t)$ should be interpolated linearly in eyespace

So compute per-vertex s/w, t/w, and 1/w
Linearly interpolated these three parameters over polygon
Per-fragment compute $s^{\prime}=(s / w) /(1 / w)$ and $t^{\prime}=(t / w) /(1 / w)$
Results in per-fragment perspective correct ( $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}$ )

| About |
| :--- |
| Projective Texturing (2) |
| So what is projective texturing? |
| Now consider homogeneous texture coordinates |
| - $(s, t, r, q)-->(s / q, t / q, r / q)$ |
| Similar to homogeneous clip coordinates where |
| $(x, y, z, w)=(x / w, y / w, z / w)$ |
| Idea is to have $(s / q, t / q, r / q)$ be projected per-fragment |
|  |


| Shadow Map Operation |
| :--- |
| Next Step: |
| Compare depth map value to distance of fragment from |
| light source |
| Different GPU generations support different means of |
| implementing this |
| Today's GPUs: pixel shader! |
| - Earlier: special hardware for implmenting this feature |
| (e.g. SGl), or just using alpha blending [Heidrich'99] |

## Back to the Shadow Mapping Discussion . . .

Assign light-space texture coordinates to polygon vertices
Transform eye-space ( $x, y, z, w$ ) coordinates to the light's view frustum (match how the light's depth map is generated)
Further transform these coordinates to map directly into the light view's depth map

Expressible as a projective transform
( $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{q}$ ) will map to light's depth map texture

## Issues with Shadow <br> Mapping (1)

## Not without its problems

Prone to aliasing artifacts "percentage closer" filtering helps this normal color filtering does not work well
Depth bias is not completely foolproof
Requires extra shadow map rendering pass and texture loading
Higher resolution shadow map reduces blockiness but also increase texture copying expense

## Hardware Shadow Map Filtering Example

GL_NEAREST: blocky GL_LINEAR: antialiased edges


Low shadow map resolution used to heightens filtering artifacts

## Issues with Shadow

Mapping (2)

## Not without its problems

Shadows are limited to view frustums could use six view frustums for omni-directional light
Objects outside or crossing the near and far clip planes are not properly accounted for by shadowing
move near plane in as close as possible
but too close throws away valuable depth map precision when using a projective frustum


## Combining Projective Texturing for <br> Spotlights

Use a spotlight-style projected texture to give shadow maps a spotlight falloff



| Shadow Volumes |
| :--- |
| Use new buffer: stencil buffer |
| Just another channel of the framebuffer |
| Can count how often a pixel is drawn |
| Algorithm (1): |
| Generate silhouette polygons for all objects |
| - Polygons starting at silhouette edges of object |
| - Extending away from light source towards infinity |
| - These can be computed in vertex programs |
|  |



| Shadow Volumes |
| :--- |
| Discussion: <br> Object space method therefore no precision issues <br> Lots of large polygons: can be slow <br> - High geometry count <br> - Large number of pixels rendered <br>  |


|  |
| :--- |
| Coming Up: |
| Friday |
| Ray-tracing |
| Next Week: |
| Global illumination |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

