

University of British Columbia CPSC 314 Computer Graphics Jan-Apr 2010

Tamara Munzner

Hidden Surfaces III

Week 9, Wed Mar 17

http://www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs314/Vjan2010

Review: BSP Trees

- preprocess: create binary tree
 - recursive spatial partition
 - viewpoint independent

Review: BSP Trees

- runtime: correctly traversing this tree enumerates objects from back to front
 - viewpoint dependent: check which side of plane viewpoint is on at each node



Review: The Z-Buffer Algorithm

- augment color framebuffer with Z-buffer or depth buffer which stores Z value at each pixel
 - at frame beginning, initialize all pixel depths to ∞
 - when rasterizing, interpolate depth (Z) across polygon
 - check Z-buffer before storing pixel color in framebuffer and storing depth in Z-buffer
 - don't write pixel if its Z value is more distant than the Z value already stored there

More: Integer Depth Buffer

- reminder from picking discussion
 - depth lies in the NDC z range [0,1]
 - format: multiply by 2ⁿ -1 then round to nearest int
 - where n = number of bits in depth buffer
- 24 bit depth buffer = 2²4 = 16,777,216 possible values
 - small numbers near, large numbers far
- consider depth from VCS: (1<<N) * (a + b / z)
 - N = number of bits of Z precision
 - a = zFar / (zFar zNear)
 - b = zFar * zNear / (zNear zFar)
 - z = distance from the eye to the object

Review: Depth Test Precision

 reminder: perspective transformation maps eye-space (view) z to NDC z

Γ / ____

\ **1**

$$\begin{bmatrix} E & 0 & A & 0 \\ 0 & F & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C & D \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Ex + Az \\ Fy + Bz \\ Cz + D \\ -z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\left(\frac{Ex}{z} + Az\right) \\ -\left(\frac{Fy}{z} + Bz\right) \\ -\left(C + \frac{D}{z}\right) \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
thus: $z_{NDC} = -\left(C + \frac{D}{z_{eye}}\right)$

Review: Depth Test Precision

- therefore, depth-buffer essentially stores 1/z, rather than z!
- issue with integer depth buffers
 - high precision for near objects
 - low precision for far objects



7

Review: Depth Test Precision

- low precision can lead to depth fighting for far objects
 - two different depths in eye space get mapped to same depth in framebuffer
 - which object "wins" depends on drawing order and scanconversion
- gets worse for larger ratios *f*:*n*
 - *rule of thumb:* f:n < 1000 *for 24 bit depth buffer*
- with 16 bits cannot discern millimeter differences in objects at 1 km distance
- demo:

sjbaker.org/steve/omniv/love_your_z_buffer.html

Correction: Ortho Camera Projection

week4.day2, slide 18

- camera's back plane
 parallel to lens
- infinite focal length
- no perspective convergence
- just throw away z values
- x and y coordinates do not change with respect to z in this projection

$$\begin{bmatrix} D & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & E & 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 & F & C \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Dx + A \\ Ey + B \\ Fz + C \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$





Z-Buffer Algorithm Questions

- how much memory does the Z-buffer use?
- does the image rendered depend on the drawing order?
- does the time to render the image depend on the drawing order?
- how does Z-buffer load scale with visible polygons? with framebuffer resolution?

Z-Buffer Pros

- simple!!!
- easy to implement in hardware
 - hardware support in all graphics cards today
- polygons can be processed in arbitrary order
- easily handles polygon interpenetration
- enables deferred shading
 - rasterize shading parameters (e.g., surface normal) and only shade final visible fragments

Z-Buffer Cons

- poor for scenes with high depth complexity
 - need to render all polygons, even if most are invisible



- shared edges are handled inconsistently
 - ordering dependent

Z-Buffer Cons

- requires lots of memory
 - (e.g. 1280x1024x32 bits)
- requires fast memory
 - Read-Modify-Write in inner loop
- hard to simulate translucent polygons
 - we throw away color of polygons behind closest one
 - works if polygons ordered back-to-front
 - extra work throws away much of the speed advantage

Hidden Surface Removal

- two kinds of visibility algorithms
 - object space methods
 - image space methods



Object Space Algorithms

- determine visibility on object or polygon level
 - using camera coordinates
- resolution independent
 - explicitly compute visible portions of polygons
- early in pipeline
 - after clipping
- requires depth-sorting
 - painter's algorithm
 - BSP trees

Image Space Algorithms

- perform visibility test for in screen coordinates
 - limited to resolution of display
 - Z-buffer: check every pixel independently
- performed late in rendering pipeline

Projective Rendering Pipeline



Rendering Pipeline



 on the surface of a closed orientable manifold, polygons whose normals point away from the camera are always occluded:

> note: backface culling alone doesn't solve the hidden-surface problem!

- not rendering backfacing polygons improves performance
 - by how much?
 - reduces by about half the number of polygons to be considered for each pixel
 - optimization when appropriate

- most objects in scene are typically "solid"
- rigorously: orientable closed manifolds
 - orientable: must have two distinct sides
 - cannot self-intersect
 - a sphere is orientable since has two sides, 'inside' and 'outside'.
 - a Mobius strip or a Klein bottle is not orientable
 - closed: cannot "walk" from one side to the other
 - sphere is closed manifold
 - plane is not



- examples of non-manifold objects:
 - a single polygon
 - a terrain or height field
 - polyhedron w/ missing face
 - anything with cracks or holes in boundary
 - one-polygon thick lampshade



Back-face Culling: VCS



first idea: cull if $N_{\rm Z}$ < 0

sometimes misses polygons that should be culled

Back-face Culling: NDCS



Invisible Primitives

- why might a polygon be invisible?
 - polygon outside the *field of view / frustum*
 - solved by clipping
 - polygon is *backfacing*
 - solved by backface culling
 - polygon is occluded by object(s) nearer the viewpoint
 - solved by hidden surface removal



Blending

Rendering Pipeline



Blending/Compositing

- how might you combine multiple elements?
- foreground color **A**, background color **B**



Premultiplying Colors

- specify opacity with alpha channel: (r,g,b,α)
 - α =1: opaque, α =.5: translucent, α =0: transparent
- A over B
 - **C** = α **A** + (1- α)**B**
- but what if **B** is also partially transparent?
 - $\mathbf{C} = \alpha \mathbf{A} + (1-\alpha) \beta \mathbf{B} = \beta \mathbf{B} + \alpha \mathbf{A} + \beta \mathbf{B} \alpha \beta \mathbf{B}$
 - $\gamma = \beta + (1-\beta)\alpha = \beta + \alpha \alpha\beta$
 - 3 multiplies, different equations for alpha vs. RGB
- premultiplying by alpha
 - C' = γ C, B' = β B, A' = α A
 - C' = B' + A' αB'
 - $\gamma = \beta + \alpha \alpha \beta$
 - 1 multiply to find C, same equations for alpha and RGB

Texturing

Rendering Pipeline



Texture Mapping

- real life objects have nonuniform colors, normals
- to generate realistic objects, reproduce coloring & normal variations = texture
- can often replace complex geometric details





Texture Mapping

- introduced to increase realism
 - lighting/shading models not enough
- hide geometric simplicity
 - images convey illusion of geometry
 - map a brick wall texture on a flat polygon
 - create bumpy effect on surface
- associate 2D information with 3D surface
 - point on surface corresponds to a point in texture
 - "paint" image onto polygon

Color Texture Mapping

- define color (RGB) for each point on object surface
- two approaches
 - surface texture map
 - volumetric texture





Texture Coordinates

- texture image: 2D array of color values (texels)
- assigning texture coordinates (s,t) at vertex with object coordinates (x,y,z,w)
 - use interpolated (s,t) for texel lookup at each pixel
 - use value to modify a polygon's color
 - or other surface property
 - specified by programmer or artist

glTexCoord2f(s,t)
glVertexf(x,y,z,w)



Texture Mapping Example



Example Texture Map



Fractional Texture Coordinates



Texture Lookup: Tiling and Clamping

- what if s or t is outside the interval [0...1]?
- multiple choices
 - use fractional part of texture coordinates
 - cyclic repetition of texture to tile whole surface glTexParameteri(..., GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_S, GL_REPEAT, GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_T, GL_REPEAT, ...)
 - clamp every component to range [0...1]
 - re-use color values from texture image border glTexParameteri(..., GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_S, GL_CLAMP, GL_TEXTURE_WRAP_T, GL_CLAMP, ...)



Demo

- Nate Robbins tutors
 - texture

Texture Coordinate Transformation

- motivation
 - change scale, orientation of texture on an object
- approach
 - texture matrix stack
 - transforms specified (or generated) tex coords glMatrixMode(GL_TEXTURE); glLoadIdentity(); glRotate();
 - more flexible than changing (s,t) coordinates
- [demo]

. . .

Texture Functions

- once have value from the texture map, can:
 - directly use as surface color: GL_REPLACE
 - throw away old color, lose lighting effects
 - modulate surface color: GL_MODULATE
 - multiply old color by new value, keep lighting info
 - texturing happens after lighting, not relit
 - use as surface color, modulate alpha: GL DECAL
 - like replace, but supports texture transparency
 - blend surface color with another: GL_BLEND
 - new value controls which of 2 colors to use
 - indirection, new value not used directly for coloring
- **specify with** glTexEnvi(GL_TEXTURE_ENV, GL_TEXTURE_ENV_MODE, <mode>)
- [demo]

Texture Pipeline

(x, y, z) **Object position** (-2.3, 7.1, 17.7) (s', t') (s, t) **Texel space Texel color** Transformed **Parameter space** (81, 74) (0.9, 0.8, 0.7)parameter space (0.32, 0.29) (0.52, 0.49)**Object color Final color** (0.5, 0.5, 0.5)(0.45, 0.4, 0.35)

Texture Objects and Binding

- texture object
 - an OpenGL data type that keeps textures resident in memory and provides identifiers to easily access them
 - provides efficiency gains over having to repeatedly load and reload a texture
 - you can prioritize textures to keep in memory
 - OpenGL uses least recently used (LRU) if no priority is assigned
- texture binding
 - which texture to use right now
 - switch between preloaded textures

Basic OpenGL Texturing

- create a texture object and fill it with texture data:
 - glGenTextures(num, &indices) to get identifiers for the objects
 - glBindTexture(GL_TEXTURE_2D, identifier) to bind
 - following texture commands refer to the bound texture
 - glTexParameteri(GL_TEXTURE_2D, ..., ...) to specify parameters for use when applying the texture
 - glTexImage2D(GL_TEXTURE_2D,) to specify the texture data (the image itself)
- **enable texturing:** glEnable(GL_TEXTURE_2D)
- state how the texture will be used:
 - glTexEnvf(...)
- specify texture coordinates for the polygon:
 - **use** glTexCoord2f(s,t) **before each vertex**:
 - glTexCoord2f(0,0); glVertex3f(x,y,z);

Low-Level Details

- large range of functions for controlling layout of texture data
 - state how the data in your image is arranged
 - e.g.: glPixelStorei(GL_UNPACK_ALIGNMENT, 1) tells OpenGL not to skip bytes at the end of a row
 - you must state how you want the texture to be put in memory: how many bits per "pixel", which channels,...
- textures must be square and size a power of 2
 - common sizes are 32x32, 64x64, 256x256
 - smaller uses less memory, and there is a finite amount of texture memory on graphics cards
- ok to use texture template sample code for project 4
 - http://nehe.gamedev.net/data/lessons/lesson.asp?lesson=09

Texture Mapping

- texture coordinates
 - specified at vertices
 glTexCoord2f(s,t);
 glVertexf(x,y,z);
 - interpolated across triangle (like R,G,B,Z)
 - ...well not quite!

Texture Mapping

- texture coordinate interpolation
 - perspective foreshortening problem



Interpolation: Screen vs. World Space

- screen space interpolation incorrect
 - problem ignored with shading, but artifacts more visible with texturing $P_0(x,y,z)$



Texture Coordinate Interpolation

- perspective correct interpolation
 - α, β, γ :
 - barycentric coordinates of a point P in a triangle
 - s0, s1, s2 :
 - texture coordinates of vertices
 - w0, w1,w2 :
 - homogeneous coordinates of vertices



Reconstruction



(image courtesy of Kiriakos Kutulakos, U Rochester)

Reconstruction

- how to deal with:
 - pixels that are much larger than texels?
 - apply filtering, "averaging"



- pixels that are much smaller than texels ?
 - interpolate



MIPmapping



single block of memory

With MIP-mapping⁵⁶

MIPmaps

- multum in parvo -- many things in a small place
 - prespecify a series of prefiltered texture maps of decreasing resolutions
 - requires more texture storage
 - avoid shimmering and flashing as objects move
- gluBuild2DMipmaps
 - automatically constructs a family of textures from original texture size down to 1x1







MIPmap storage

only 1/3 more space required



Texture Parameters

- in addition to color can control other material/object properties
 - surface normal (bump mapping)
 - reflected color (environment mapping)



Bump Mapping: Normals As Texture

- object surface often not smooth to recreate correctly need complex geometry model
- can control shape "effect" by locally perturbing surface normal
 - random perturbation
 - directional change over region



Bump Mapping



Original surface



Bump Mapping



O'(u)

Lengthening or shortening O(u) using B(u)



The vectors to the 'new' surface

Embossing

- at transitions
 - rotate point's surface normal by θ or θ



Displacement Mapping

- bump mapping gets silhouettes wrong
 - shadows wrong too
- change surface geometry instead
 - only recently available with realtime graphics
 - need to subdivide surface

