Tamara Munzner

Lighting/Shading II
Week 7, Mon Mar 1
http://www.ugrad.cs.ubc.ca/~cs314/Vjan2010

- Homework 3 out today
- Homework 2, Project 2 due tomorrow
- TA office hours in lab
- (Mon 2-3 lab, Shailen)
- Tue 11-1, Shailen
- (Tue 1-2 lab, Kai)
- Tue 3:50-5, Kai
- (my office hours in X661 Mon 4-5)
- intended for CS111, but will answer 314 questions if there are no 111 students waiting
- department news

Review: Computing Barycentric
-2D triangle area Coordinates $\quad(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=$ - half of parallelogram area - from cross product
$\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{P} 1}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{P} 2}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{P} 3}$
$\alpha=A_{P 1} / \mathrm{A}$
$\beta=A_{P 2} / A$

$\gamma=A_{P 3} / A \quad$ weighted combination of three points

## Review: Reflection Equations

$I_{\text {diffuse }}=k_{d} I_{\text {light }}(n \cdot l)$ $\square$
$\qquad$

- specular: perfect mirror with no scattering
- gloss: mixed, partial specularity
- diffuse: all directions with equal energy
$\downarrow+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{2}$
specular + glossy + diffuse $=$
reflectance distribution
- directional/parallel lights
- point at infinity: $(x, y, z, 0)^{\top}$
- point lights
- finite position: $(x, y, z, 1)^{\top}$
- spotlights
- position, direction, angle
- ambient lights

Non-Ideal Specular Reflectance

- Snell's law applies to perfect mirror-like surfaces, but aside from mirrors (and chrome) few surfaces exhibit perfect specularity
- how can we capture the "softer" reflections of surface that are glossy, not mirror-like?
- one option: model the microgeometry of the surface and explicitly bounce rays off of it or...


## Empirical Approximation

- we expect most reflected light to travel in direction predicted by Snell's Law
- but because of microscopic surface variations, some light may be reflected in a direction slightly off the ideal reflected ray
- as angle from ideal reflected ray increases, we expect less light to be reflected

Physics of Specular Reflection

- at the microscopic level a specular reflecting surface is very smooth
- thus rays of light are likely to bounce off the microgeometry in a mirror-like fashion
- the smoother the surface, the closer it becomes to a perfect mirror


## Optics of Reflection

- reflection follows Snell's Law:
- incoming ray and reflected ray lie in a plane with the surface normal
- angle the reflected ray forms with surface normal equals angle formed by incoming ray and surface normal



## Empirical Approximation

- angular falloff

- how might we model this falloff?


## Phong Lighting

- most common lighting model in computer graphics
-(Phong Bui-Tuong, 1975)
$\mathbf{I}_{\text {specular }}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{I}_{\text {light }}(\cos \phi) n_{\text {shiny }}$
- $\mathrm{n}_{\text {shiny }}$ : purely empirical
$\mathrm{n}_{\text {shing }}$ : purely empirical
constant, varies rate of falloff
- $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : specular coefficient,
highlight color
- no physical basis, works
ok in practice

Phong reflectance term drops off with divergence of viewing angle from
ideal reflected ray ideal reflected ray

varying I


Calculating R Vector


## Calculating R Vector

$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N} \cos \theta \mid$ L | $\mathbf{N} \mid \quad$ projection of $\mathbf{L}$ onto $\mathbf{N}$
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N} \cos \theta \quad \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{N}$ are unit length
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathrm{L})$
$\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{N}$ are unit length


Phong Lighting: Intensity Plots


## Blinn-Phong Model

- variation with better physical interpretation
$\dot{I_{\text {out }}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{n})^{n_{\text {shiny }}} \bullet I_{\text {in }}(\mathbf{x}) ;$ with $\mathbf{h}=(\mathbf{l}+\mathbf{v}) / 2$
- $\boldsymbol{h}$ : halfway vector
- h must also be explicitly normalized: $\mathrm{h} /|\mathrm{h}|$
- highlight occurs when $h$ near $n$


## Lighting Review

## - lighting models

## - ambient

- normals don't matter
- Lambert/diffuse
- angle between surface normal and light
- Phong/specular
- surface normal, light, and viewpoint


## Lighting in OpenGL

- light source: amount of RGB light emitted - value represents percentage of full intensity e.g., (1.0,0.5,0.5)
every light source emits ambient, diffuse, and specular light
- materials: amount of RGB light reflected - value represents percentage reflected e.g., (0.0,1.0,0.5)
- interaction: multiply components
- red light $(1,0,0) x$ green surface $(0,1,0)=$ black $(0,0,0)$


## Calculating R Vector

$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N} \cos \theta|L||\mathbf{N}| \quad$ projection of $\mathbf{L}$ onto $\mathbf{N}$
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N} \cos \theta$
$\mathrm{L}, \mathbf{N}$ are unit length
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathrm{L})$
$2 P=R+L$
$2 \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{R}$
$2(N(N \cdot L))-L=R$

## Phong Lighting Model

- combine ambient, diffuse, specular components
$\mathbf{I}_{\text {total }}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{I}_{\text {ambient }}+\sum_{i=1}^{\# \text { lighs }} \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}\left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)+\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)^{n_{\text {shiny }}}\right)$
- commonly called Phong lighting
- once per light
- once per color component
- reminder: normalize your vectors when calculating!
- normalize all vectors: $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{v}$
- r: ideal reflectancerds viewer/eye
- $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : speclectance direction (unit vector)
- highlight color .
- $I_{\text {Ight }}$ incoming light intensity
- how to efficiently calculate $\mathbf{r}$ ?
$\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{N} \cos \theta=$ projection of $\mathbf{L}$ onto $\mathbf{N}$
- compute cosine term of Phong lighting with vectors

$$
\mathbf{I}_{\text {specular }}=\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{I}_{\text {light }}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{r})^{n_{\text {shiny }}}
$$

## Light Source Falloff

- quadratic falloff
- brightness of objects depends on power per unit area that hits the object
- the power per unit area for a point or spot light decreases quadratically with distance

- non-quadratic falloff
- many systems allow for other falloffs
- allows for faking effect of area light sources
- OpenGL / graphics hardware
- $I_{0}$ : intensity of light source
- $x$ : object point
- r: distance of light from $\boldsymbol{x}$

$$
I_{i n}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{a r^{2}+b r+c} \cdot I_{0}
$$

## Lighting in OpenGL

gILightv(GL_LIGHTO, GL_AMBIENT, amb _light_rgba): gILighff(GL_LIGHTO, GL_SPECULAR, spec_Iight_ rgba ) gilightfv(GL_LIGHTO, GL_POSITION, position); gIEnable(GL_LIGHTO);
gIMaterialfy (GL FRONT, GL_AMBIENT, ambient_ rgba );
glMaterialfy (GL
RRONT GL GL
gIMaterialfy ( GL_-_RONT, GL_DIFFUSE, diffuse _rgba);
gIMaterialfy (GL_FRONT, GL_SPECULAR, specular_rgba );
gIMaterialfy (GL_ FRONT, GL SHININESS
gMaerialt(al_RON, GL_SHNNES, n);

- warning: glMaterial is expensive and tricky - use cheap and simple glColor when possible - see OpenGL Pitfall \#14 from Kilgard's list http://www.opengl.org/resources/features/KilgardTechniques/oglpitfall/


## Applying Illumination

## lighting

- process of computing the luminous intensity (ie., outgoing light) at a particular 3-D point, usually on a surface


## shading

- the process of assigning colors to pixels
- (why the distinction?)

- we now have an illumination model for a point on a surface
- if surface defined as mesh of polygonal facets, which points should we use?
- fairly expensive calculation
- several possible answers, each with different implications for visual quality of result


## Applying Illumination

polygonal/triangular models

- each facet has a constant surface normal
- if light is directional, diffuse reflectance is constant across the face
- why?


## Flat Shading

- simplest approach calculates illumination at a single point for each polygon

- obviously inaccurate for smooth surfaces


## Flat Shading Approximations

If an object really is faceted, is this
accurate? no!

For point sources, the direction to light varies across the facet
or specular reflectance, direction eye varies across the facet


## Improving Flat Shading

- what if evaluate Pong lighting model at each pixel of the polygon?
- better, but result still clearly faceted
for smoother-looking surfaces we introduce vertex normals at each vertex
- usually different from facet normal
- used only for shading
- think of as a better approximation of the real surface that the polygons approximate

$\qquad$

+1.......


## Vertex Normals

vertex normals may be

- provided with the model
- computed from first principles
- approximated by
averaging the normals
of the facets that share the vertex


## Gouraud Shading

- most common approach, and what Open GL does perform Pong lighting at the vertices linearly interpolate the resulting colors over faces along edges
- along scanline
does this eliminate the facets?
${ }_{37}$


## Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- often appears dull, chalky
- lacks accurate specular component - if included, will be averaged over entire polygon



## Gouraud Shading Artifacts

## - Mach bands

- eye enhances discontinuity in first derivative
- very disturbing, especially for highlights


Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- Mach bands


Gouraud Shading Artifacts

- perspective transformations
- affine combinations only invariant under affine, not under perspective transformations
- thus, perspective projection alters the linear interpolation!



## Gouraud Shading Artifacts

perspective transformation problem

- colors slightly "swim" on the surface as objects move relative to the camera
usually ignored since often only small difference - usually smaller than changes from lighting variation to do it right
- either shading in object space
or correction for perspective foreshortening
- expensive - thus hardly ever done for colors


## Phong Shading

linearly interpolating surface normal across the facet, applying Phong lighting model at every pixel

- same input as Gouraud shad
- con: considerably more expens
not the same as Pong lighting
- common confusion

Thong lighting: empirical model to calculate illum
a point on a surface

## Phong Shading Difficulties

- linearly interpolate the vertex normals
- compute lighting equations at each pixel
- can use specular component

- computationally expensive
- per-pixel vector normalization and lighting computation!
- floating point operations required
- lighting after perspective projection
- messes up the angles between vectors
- have to keep eye-space vectors around
- no direct support in pipeline hardware
- but can be simulated with texture mapping
- stay tuned for modern hardware: shaders

Shading Artifacts: Silhouettes - polygonal silhouettes remain


Shutterbug: Flat Shading


Shading Artifacts: Orientation

- interpolation dependent on polygon orientation


Shading Artifacts: Shared Vertices
vertex $B$ shared by two rectangles on the right, but not by the one on

the left
first portion of the scanline
is interpolated between DE and AC
second portion of the scanline second portion of the scanline
is interpolated between $B C$ and $G H$ a large discontinuity could arise

## Shading Models Summary

- flat shading
- compute Phong lighting once for entire polygon
- Gouraud shading
- compute Phong lighting at the vertices and interpolate lighting values across polygon
- Phong shading
- compute averaged vertex normals
- interpolate normals across polygon and perform Phong lighting across polygon

Non-Photorealistic Shading

- cool-to-warm shading $k_{w}=\frac{1+\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{1}}{2}, c=k_{w} c_{w}+\left(1-k_{w}\right) c_{c}$

${ }_{55}$
http://www.cs.utah.edu/~gooch/SIG98/paper/drawing.html ${ }_{56}$


## Computing Normals

- per-vertex normals by interpolating per-facet normals
- OpenGL supports both
olygon
1
- computing normal for a polygon - three points form two vectors

per-rtex normals by interpolating per-facet normals
- OpenGL supports both
computing normal for a polygon
- three points form two vectors
cross: normal of plane
gives direction
normalize to unit length!
- which side is up? - convention: points in
counterclockwise in counterclockwise
order order


## Specifying Normals

## - OpenGL state machine

- uses last normal specified
- if no normals specified, assumes all identical
- per-vertex normals
$\underset{\text { ginarmal3f(1,1,1); }}{\text { givertex } 3 f(3,4,5) ;}$


- per-face normals



