


### News

- Reminders
  - H4 due Mon 4/12 5pm
  - P4 due Wed 4/14 5pm
- Extra TA office hours in lab 005 for P4/H4
  - Mon 4/12 11-1, 3-5 (Garrett)
  - Tue 4/13 3:30-5 (Kai)
  - Wed 4/14 2-4, 5-7 (Shailen)
  - Thu 4/15 3-5 (Kai)
  - Fri 4/16 11-4 (Garrett)
- Project 4 demo signup sheet

2

### Review: B-Spline


- $C_0$ ,  $C_1$ , and  $C_2$  continuous
- piecewise: locality of control point influence



3

### Review: Volume Graphics


- for some data, difficult to create polygonal mesh
- voxels**: discrete representation of 3D object
  - volume rendering**: create 2D image from 3D object
- translate raw densities into colors and transparencies
  - different aspects of the dataset can be emphasized via changes in transfer functions



4

### Review: Volume Graphics


- pros
  - formidable technique for data exploration
- cons
  - rendering algorithm has high complexity!
  - special purpose hardware costly (~\$3K-\$10K)



5

### Review: Isosurfaces


- 2D scalar fields: isolines
  - contour plots, level sets
  - topographic maps
- 3D scalar fields: isosurfaces



6

### Review: Isosurface Extraction


- array of discrete point samples at grid points
  - 3D array: voxels
- find contours
  - closed, continuous
  - determined by iso-value
- several methods
  - marching cubes is most common



7

### Review: Marching Cubes


- create cube
- classify each voxel
- binary labeling of each voxel to create index
- use in array storing edge list
  - all 256 cases can be derived from 15 base cases
- interpolate triangle vertex
- calculate the normal at each cube vertex
- render by standard methods



8


### Review: Direct Volume Rendering

- do not compute surface



9


### Review: Rendering Pipeline



10

### Review: Setting Transfer Functions


- can be difficult, unintuitive, and slow



Gordon Kindlmann

11


### Rendering Pipeline



12

### Light Effects

- usually only consider reflected part




14

### Spatial/Scientific Visualization II


13

### Rendering Pipeline




15

### Interpolation



16


## Rendering Pipeline



17


## Volume Rendering Algorithms

- ray casting
  - image order, forward viewing
- splatting
  - object order, backward viewing
- texture mapping
  - object order
  - back-to-front compositing



18


## Ray Traversal Schemes



19

## Ray Traversal - First


- first: extracts iso-surfaces (again!)



20

## Ray Traversal - Average


- average: looks like X-ray



21

## Ray Traversal - MIP


- max: Maximum Intensity Projection
  - used for Magnetic Resonance Angiogram



22

## Ray Traversal - Accumulate


- accumulate: make transparent layers visible



23

## Splatting


- each voxel represented as fuzzy ball
  - 3D gaussian function
  - RGBA value depends on transfer function
- fuzzy balls projected on screen, leaving footprint called **splat**
  - **composite front to back, in object order**



24

## Texture Mapping

- 2D: axis aligned 2D textures
  - back to front compositing
  - commodity hardware support
  - must calculate texture coordinates, warp to image plane
- 3D: image aligned 3D texture
  - simple to generate texture coordinates



26

## Nonspatial/Information Visualization


## Reading

- FCG Chap 27
  - N/A 2nd edition, available online at <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/labs/imager/tr/2009/VisChapter>

27

## Why Do Visualization?

- pictures help us think
  - substitute perception for cognition
  - external memory: free up limited cognitive/memory resources for higher-level problems



## Information Visualization

- interactive visual representation of abstract data
  - help human perform some task more effectively
- bridging many fields
  - computer graphics: interact in realtime
  - cognitive psychology: find appropriate representation
  - HCI: use task to guide design and evaluation
- external representation
  - reduces load on working memory
  - offload cognition
  - familiar example: multiplication/division
  - infovis example: topic graphs

29


## External Representation: Topic Graphs

- hard to find topics two hops away from target [Godel, Escher, Bach: The Eternal Golden Braid. Hofstadter 1979]
  - **Paradoxes** - Lewis Carroll
    - Halting problem - Decision procedures
    - BlooP and FlooP - AI
    - Halting problem - Unpredictably long searches
    - Paradoxes - Infinity
    - BlooP and FlooP - Unpredictably long searches
    - BlooP and FlooP - Recursion
    - Tarski - Truth vs. provability
    - Tarski - Epimenides
    - Tarski - Undecidability
    - Paradoxes - Self-ref
    - [...]
  - Infinity - Lewis Carroll
  - Infinity - Unpredictably long searches
  - Infinity - Recursion
  - Infinity - Zeno
  - Infinity - Paradoxes
  - Lewis Carroll - Zeno
  - Lewis Carroll - Wordplay

30

## External Representation: Topic Graphs


- offload cognition to visual system



31

## Automatic Node-Link Graph Layout

- manual: hours, days
- automatic: seconds




32

## When To Do Vis?

- need a human in the loop
  - augment, not replace, human cognition
  - for problems that cannot be (completely) automated
- simple summary not adequate
  - statistics may not adequately characterize complexity of dataset distribution

Anscombe's quartet:  
same


- mean
- variance
- correlation coefficient
- linear regression line



<http://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/b/b6/Anscombe.svg> 33

## Visualization Design Layers

- depends on both data and task



34

## Visual Encoding

marks: geometric primitives

attributes: points, lines, areas

position: x, y, z, etc.

size: area, length, etc.

grey level: intensity, etc.

texture: patterns, etc.

color: hue, saturation, etc.

orientation: angle, etc.

shape: circles, squares, etc.


attributes: parameters control mark appearance, separable channels flowing from retina to brain

Semiology of Graphics, Jacques Bertin, Gauthier-Villars 1967, EHESS 1998

35

## Visual Encoding Example: Scatterplot

- x position
- y position
- hue
- size



Robertson et al. Effectiveness of Animation in Trend Visualization. IEEE TVCG (Proc. InfoVis08) 14:6 (2008), 1325-1332. 36

## Data Types

- quantitative
  - lengths: 10 inches, 17 inches, 23 inches
- ordered
  - sizes: small, medium, large
  - days: Mon, Tue, Wed, ...
- categorical
  - fruit: apples, oranges, bananas




[Stolte and Hanrahan. Polaris: A System for Query, Analysis and Visualization of Multi-dimensional Relational Databases. Proc InfoVis 2000. graphics.stanford.edu/projects/polaris/] 37

## Channel Ranking Varies By Data Type

Quantitative	Ordered	Categorical
Position	Position	Position
Length	Lightness	Hue
Angle	Saturation	Texture
Slope	Hue	Connection
Area	Texture	Containment
Volume	Connection	Lightness
Lightness	Containment	Saturation
Saturation	Length	Shape
Hue	Angle	Length
Texture	Slope	Angle
Connection	Area	Slope
Containment	Volume	Area
Shape	Shape	Volume

[Mackinlay, Automating the Design of Graphical Presentations of Relational Information, ACM TOG 5:2, 1986] 38


## Integral vs. Separable Dimensions



[Colin Ware, Information Visualization: Perception for Design. Morgan Kaufmann 1999.] 39

## Preattentive Visual Channels


- color alone, shape alone: preattentive
- combined color and shape: requires attention
- search speed linear with distractor count



[Christopher Healey, [www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]] 40

## Preattentive Visual Channels


- preattentive channels include
  - hue
  - shape
  - texture
  - length
  - width
  - size
  - orientation
  - curvature
  - intersection
  - intensity
  - flicker
  - direction of motion
  - stereoscopic depth
  - lighting direction
  - many more...



[Healey, [www.csc.ncsu.edu/faculty/healey/PP/PP.html]] 41

## Coloring Categorical Data

- 22 colors, but only ~8 distinguishable



[www.peacockmaps.com, research.lumeta.com/ches/map] 42

## Coloring Categorical Data


- discrete small patches separated in space
- limited distinguishability: around 8-14
  - channel dynamic range low
  - best to choose bins explicitly
- maximal saturation for small areas



[Colin Ware, Information Visualization: Perception for Design. Morgan Kaufmann 1999.] 43

## Quantitative Colormaps


- dangers of rainbows
  - perceptually nonlinear
  - arbitrary not innate ordering
- other approaches
  - explicitly segmented colormaps
  - monotonically increasing/(decreasing) luminance, plus hue to semantically distinguish regions



Rogowitz and Treinish. Data Visualization: The End of the Rainbow. IEEE Spectrum 35(12):52-59, Dec 1998. 44

## 3D vs 2D Representations

- curve comparison difficult: perspective distortion, occlusion
  - dataset is abstract, not inherently spatial
  - after data transformation to clusters, linked 2D views of representative curves show more



[van Wijk and van Selow, Cluster and Calendar based Visualization of Time Series Data, InfoVis99] 45

## Space vs Time: Showing Change


- animation: show time using temporal change
  - good: show process
  - good: flip between two things
  - bad: flip between between many things
    - interference between intermediate frames



[Outside In excerpt. www.geom.uiuc.edu/docs/outreach/oi/evert.mpg] [www.astronshow.com/ccdpho/pluto.gif] [Edward Tufte. The Visual Display of Quantitative Information, p 172] 46

## Space vs Time: Showing Change


- small multiples: show time using space
  - overview: show each time step in array
  - compare: side by side easier than temporal
    - external cognition vs internal memory
  - general technique, not just for temporal changes



[Edward Tufte. The Visual Display of Quantitative Information, p 172] 47

## Composite Views


- pixel-oriented views
  - overviews with high information density
- superimposing/layering
  - shared coordinate frame
  - redundant visual encoding



[Jones, Harrold, and Stasko. Visualization of Test Information to Assist Fault Localization. Proc. ICSE 2002, p 467-477.] [Munzner. Interactive Visualization of Large Graphs and Networks. Stanford CS, 2000] 48

## Composite Views: Glyphs

- internal structure where subregions have different visual channel encodings




[Ward, A Taxonomy of Glyph Placement Strategies for Multidimensional Data Visualization. Information Visualization Journal 1:3-4 (2002), 194-210.]

[Smith, Grinstein, and Bergeron. Interactive data exploration with a supercomputer. Proc. IEEE Visualization, p 248-254, 1991.]

49

## Adjacent: Multiple Views

- different visual encodings show different aspects of the data
- linked highlighting to show where contiguous in one view distributed within another



50

## Adjacent Views

- overview and detail
  - same visual encoding, different resolutions
- small multiples
  - same visual encoding, different data

51