
CPSC 310 – Software Engineering

Lecture 10 – Refactoring

Any fool can write code that a computer can understand. Good programmers write code that humans can understand.

Martin Fowler

Refactoring: Improving the design of existing code

The Architecture of Open Source Applications

Elegance, Evolution, and a Few Fearless Hacks

Edited by Amy Brown & Greg Wilson

REFACTORING

IMPROVING THE DESIGN
OF EXISTING CODE

MARTIN FOWLER

With Contributions by **Kent Beck, John Brant,
William Opdyke, and Don Roberts**

Foreword by **Erich Gamma**
Object Technology International Inc.



Overview

- Introduction
 - Why refactor?
 - Refactoring: What, When, How?
 - Drawbacks
 - How to refactor
-

Learning Goals

After this unit, you will be able to:

- Describe concrete reasons why code can become smelly over time
 - Explain the benefits of refactoring
 - Describe the textbook process you should follow when refactoring
 - Given code, be able to identify code smells and apply appropriate refactorings
-


Change in Software is a constant

```
public String printStatement() {
    double totalAmount = 0;
    Enumeration rentals = _rentals.elements();
    while (rentals.hasMoreElements()) {
        double thisAmount = 0;
        Rental each = (Rental) rentals.nextElement();

        //determine amount for each movie
        switch (each.getMovie().getPriceCode()) {
            case Movie.REGULAR:
                thisAmount += 2;
                if (each.getDaysRented() > 2)
                    thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 2) * 1.5;
                break;
            case Movie.NEW_RELEASE:
                thisAmount += each.getDaysRented() * 3;
                break;
        }

        totalAmount += thisAmount;
    }

    return "Amount owed: " + totalAmount + "";
}
```



```

public String printStatement() {
    double totalAmount = 0;
    Enumeration rentals = _rentals.elements();
    while (rentals.hasMoreElements())
        double thisAmount = 0;
        Rental each = (Rental) rentals.nextElement();

        //determine amount for each movie rental
        switch (each.getMovie().getPriceCode()) {
        case Movie.REGULAR:
            thisAmount += 2;
            if (each.getDaysRented() > 7)
                thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 7) * 1.5;
            break;
        case Movie.NEW_RELEASE:
            thisAmount += each.getDaysRented() * 3;
            break;
        }

        totalAmount += thisAmount;
    }

    return "Amount owed: " + totalAmount;
}

```

```

public String printStatement() {
    double totalAmount = 0;
    int frequentRenterPoints = 0;
    Enumeration rentals = _rentals.elements();
    String result = "Rental Record for " + getName() + "\n";

    while (rentals.hasMoreElements()) {
        double thisAmount = 0;
        Rental each = (Rental) rentals.nextElement();

        //determine amounts for each line
        switch (each.getMovie().getPriceCode()) {
        case Movie.REGULAR:
            thisAmount += 2;
            if (each.getDaysRented() > 7)
                thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 7) * 1.5;
            break;
        case Movie.NEW_RELEASE:
            thisAmount += each.getDaysRented() * 3;
            break;
        case Movie.CHILDRENS:
            thisAmount += 1.5;
            if (each.getDaysRented() > 3)
                thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 3) * 1.5;
            break;
        }

        // add frequent renter points
        frequentRenterPoints++;
        // add bonus for a two day new release rental
        if ((each.getMovie().getPriceCode() == Movie.NEW_RELEASE) && each.getDaysRented() > 1)
            frequentRenterPoints++;

        // show figures for this rental
        result += "\t" + each.getMovie().getTitle() + "\t" + String.valueOf(thisAmount) + "\n";
        totalAmount += thisAmount;
    }

    // add footer lines
    result += "Amount owed is " + String.valueOf(totalAmount) + "\n";
    result += "You earned " + String.valueOf(frequentRenterPoints) + " frequent renter points";

    return result;
}

```

```

public String printStatement() {
    double totalAmount = 0;
    Enumeration rentals = _rentals.elements();
    while (rentals.hasMoreElements())
        double thisAmount = 0;
        Rental each = (Rental) rentals.nextElement();

        //determine amount for each movie
        switch (each.getMovie().getPriceCode())
        case Movie.REGULAR:
            thisAmount += 2;
            if (each.getDaysRented() > 2)
                thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 2);
            break;
        case Movie.NEW_RELEASE:
            thisAmount += each.getDaysRented();
            break;
        }

        totalAmount += thisAmount;
    }
    return "Amount owed: " + totalAmount;
}

```

```

public String printStatement() {
    double totalAmount = 0;
    int frequentRenterPoints = 0;
    Enumeration rentals = _rentals.elements();
    String result = "Rental Record for " + getName() + "\n";

    while (rentals.hasMoreElements()) {
        double thisAmount = 0;
        Rental each = (Rental) rentals.nextElement();

        //determine amounts for each line
        switch (each.getMovie().getPriceCode())
        case Movie.REGULAR:
            thisAmount += 2;
            if (each.getDaysRented() > 2)
                thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 2);
            break;
        case Movie.NEW_RELEASE:
            thisAmount += each.getDaysRented();
            break;
        case Movie.CHILDRENS:
            thisAmount += 1.5;
            if (each.getDaysRented() > 3)
                thisAmount += (each.getDaysRented() - 3);
            break;
        }

        // add frequent renter points
        frequentRenterPoints++;
        // add bonus for a two day new release
        if ((each.getMovie().getPriceCode() == Movie.NEW_RELEASE) &&
            each.getDaysRented() < 2)
            frequentRenterPoints++;

        // show figures for this rental
        result += "\t" + each.getMovie().getTitle() + "\t";
        totalAmount += thisAmount;
    }

    // add footer lines
    result += "Amount owed is " + String.valueOf(totalAmount) + "\n";
    result += "You earned " + String.valueOf(frequentRenterPoints) + " frequent renter points";

    return result;
}

```

You might then add another method “printLongFormStatement” that reuses a lot of this code. And since you are in a hurry, you might just copy this method, and augment it.

don't pretend you haven't done this

Yes But...

- No one would *really* introduce code duplication like that, would they?
 - So what's the problem?
-

What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - But...
 - Others alter your code
 - You alter other people's code
 - This is good: collaboration = better product!
-

What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - ***Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!***



What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!

 - But...
 - You won't get it right the first time around
 - Defects show up at all points of the lifecycle
 - Code is being constantly revisited
-

What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!
 - ***Accumulated modifications lead to this code!***



What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!
 - Accumulated modifications lead to this code!
 - But...
 - There is no such thing as a *perfect coder*
 - External conditions can affect the quality of your work
 - Approaching deadlines, stress, skunks...
-

What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - ❑ “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - ❑ Your code is (probably) great.
 - ❑ Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!
 - ❑ Accumulated modifications lead to this code!
 - ❑ ***This code can appear in suboptimal conditions!***

```
q = ((p<=1) ? (p ? 0 : 1) : (p== -4) ? 2 : (p+1));
```

```
while (*a++ = *b--);
```

```
char b[2][10000], *s, *t=b, *d, *e=b+1, **p; main(int c, char**v) { int n=atoi(v[1]);  
  strcpy(b, v[2]); while(n--){ for(s=t, d=e; *s; s++) { for(p=v+3; *p; p++)  
    if(**p==*s){ strcpy(d, *p+2); d+= strlen(d); goto x; } *d++=*s; x: } s=t; t=e; e=s; *d+  
  +=0; } puts(t); }
```

yes, even code like this!!

What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!
 - Accumulated modifications lead to this code!
 - This code can appear in suboptimal conditions!
 - But...
 - Agility means very small upfront design
 - “The simplest thing that could possibly work!”
-

What's the problem?

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!
 - Accumulated modifications lead to this code!
 - This code can appear in suboptimal conditions!
 - ***This code is expected in an agile process!***
 - *If it doesn't show up, then you're probably not agile...*
-

Motivating Thought Experiment

Case: Imagine you've written a piece of code but then accidentally deleted and lost it.

Question:

- How much time would it take you to reconstruct from scratch what you had – the same amount, or more, or less?
- Would the code have a better design the second time you write it?

Code Evolves

- **Rule of thumb:** It's harder to maintain (someone else's) code than it is to write new code.
 - Most developers hope that they won't have to deal with code maintenance.
 - NIH syndrome
- **Reality:** Evolving/maintaining code is what most developers do most of the time.
- **Advice:** It pays off to keep code simple and easy to understand.

The problem is: CODE SMELLS!

- Facts:
 - “Nobody” writes code like that.
 - Your code is (probably) great.
 - Code like that emerges after a collaborative effort!
 - Accumulated modifications lead to this code!
 - This code can appear in suboptimal conditions!
 - ***This code is expected in an agile process!***
 - *If it doesn't show up, then you're probably not agile...*

Gradually, code begins to rot in places.

Those places are said to “smell”


We, as designers/software developers, have to chase down these code smells and fix them.

What is a Code Smell?

- A ***recognizable*** indicator that something ***may*** be wrong in the code
- Can occur in the ***product code*** as well as in the ***test code***!

The smells/refactorings in the following slides are from Martin Fowler, Refactoring, “Improving the design of existing code”.

For test code smells: van Deursen et al. “Refactoring Test Code”.



Some common smells

- Magic Numbers
 - Duplicated Code
 - Long Method
 - Complicated Conditionals
 - Switch Statements
 - Large class (doing the work of two)
 - Divergent Change
 - Shotgun Surgery
 - Comments
-
- within-class smells
- between-class smells

<http://www.soberit.hut.fi/mmantyla/badcodesmellstaxonomy.htm>

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Code_smell

Magic Numbers?!

```
double potentialEnergy(double mass, double height) {  
    return mass * 9.81 * height;  
}
```

Any use of an actual number right in the code

Duplicate code

```
extern int array1[];
extern int array2[];


int sum1 = 0;
int sum2 = 0;
int averagel = 0;
int average2 = 0;

for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
{
    sum1 += array1[i];
}
averagel = sum1/4;

for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
{
    sum2 += array2[i];
}
average2 = sum2/4;
```

These two loops are the same!

Sometimes the duplication is not as exact



When is a method too long?

some red flags...



Deeply nested control structures: e.g. for-loops 3 levels deep or even just 2 levels deep with nested if-statements that have complex conditions.



Too many state-defining parameters: By state-defining parameter, I mean a function parameter that guarantees a particular execution path through the function. Get too many of these type of parameters and you have a combinatorial explosion of execution paths (this usually happens in tandem with #1).



Logic that is duplicated in other methods: poor code re-use is a huge contributor to monolithic procedural code. A lot of such logic duplication can be very subtle, but once re-factored, the end result can be a far more elegant design.



Excessive inter-class coupling: this lack of proper encapsulation results in functions being concerned with intimate characteristics of other classes, hence lengthening them.



Unnecessary overhead: Comments that point out the obvious, deeply nested classes, superfluous getters and setters for private nested class variables, and unusually long function/variable names can all create syntactic noise within related functions that will ultimately increase their length.



Your massive developer-grade display isn't big enough to display it: Actually, displays of today are big enough that a function that is anywhere close to its height is probably way too long. But, if it is larger, this is a smoking gun that something is wrong.



You can't immediately determine the function's purpose: Furthermore, once you actually do determine its purpose, if you can't summarize this purpose in a single sentence or happen to have a tremendous headache, this should be a clue.




When do you have a complicated conditional.?

```
if (date.before (SUMMER_START) || date.after(SUMMER_END))  
    charge = quantity * _winterRate + _winterServiceCharge;  
else charge = quantity * _summerRate;
```

Large Class (One class is actually two)

This reveals a failure of the single-responsibility principle.



What's Divergent Change?

When you have to alter a class for more than one kind of change

This reveals a failure of the single-responsibility principle.

Divergent change occurs when one class is commonly changed in different ways for different reasons. ...



Any change to handle a variation should change a single class, and all the typing in the new class should express the variation.

If you look at a class and say, "Well, I will have to change these three methods every time I get a new database; I have to change these four methods every time there is a new financial instrument," you likely have a situation in which two objects are better than one. That way each object is changed only as a result of one kind of change. Of course, you often discover this only after you've added a few databases or financial instruments.

<http://sourcemaking.com/refactoring/divergent-change>

What's shotgun surgery?

A change that alters many classes

You whiff this when every time you make a kind of change, you have to make a lot of little changes to a lot of different classes. ”
When the changes are all over the place, they are hard to find, and it's easy to miss an important change.

this is the inverse of divergent change.

One change in lots of places, versus one place with lots of changes

<http://sourcemaking.com/refactoring/shotgun-surgery>

Is a comment really a smell?

no

... comments often are used as a deodorant. It's surprising how often you look at thickly commented code and notice that the comments are there because the code is bad.

but do keep commenting!

A good time to use a comment is when you don't know what to do. In addition to describing what is going on, comments can indicate areas in which you aren't sure. A comment is a good place to say why you did something. This kind of information helps future modifiers, especially forgetful ones.



<http://sourcemaking.com/refactoring/comments>

How to Deal with a Smell?

- First, determine if it is a *bad smell*!
 - Some smells are always bad
 - Others you can live with
 - (My opinion: Some purists would disagree.)
- Then apply the appropriate refactoring(s)

<http://www.industriallogic.com/wp-content/uploads/2005/09/smellstorefactorings.pdf>

Code Smells require Refactoring

- When a code smell is detected, you can rework the code to fix it.
 - In our code duplication example from earlier, what could we have done?
-

What is Refactoring?

“[Refactoring is] the process of changing a software system in such a way that it does not alter the external behavior of the code yet improves its internal structure” – Martin Fowler

Changes made to a system that:

- ❑ ***Do not change observable behavior***
- ❑ Remove duplication or needless complexity
- ❑ Enhance software quality
- ❑ Make the code easier and simpler to understand
- ❑ Make the code more flexible
- ❑ Make the code easier to change

▪ **Requires Tests!**

What is Refactoring?


- At its simplest, it's just a ***small, behaviour-preserving, source-to-source*** transformation.
- Example:

```
extern int array1[];
extern int array2[];

int sum1 = 0;
int sum2 = 0;
int averagel = 0;
int average2 = 0;

for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
{
    sum1 += array1[i];
}
averagel = sum1/4;

for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
{
    sum2 += array2[i];
}
average2 = sum2/4;
```



```
int calcAverage (int* Array_of_4)
{
    int sum = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++)
    {
        sum += Array_of_4[i];
    }
    return sum/4;
}
```

Why Refactor?

- Long-term investment in the quality of the code and its structure
- No refactoring may save costs / time in the short term but incurs a huge penalty in the long run

Why fix it if it ain't broken?

Every module has three functions:

- To execute according to its purpose
- To afford change
- To communicate to its readers

If it does not do one or more of these, it *is* broken.

```
q = ((p<=1) ? (p ? 0 : 1) : (p===-4) ? 2 : (p+1));  
  
while (*a++ = *b--) ;  
  
char b[2][10000], *s, *t=b, *d, *e=b+1, **p; main(int c, char**v) {int n=atoi(v[1]);  
    strcpy(b, v[2]); while(n--){for(s=t, d=e; *s; s++)    {for(p=v+3; *p; p++)  
        if(**p==*s){strcpy(d, *p+2); d+= strlen(d); goto x;} *d++=*s; x:} s=t; t=e; e=s; *d+  
    +=0;} puts(t);}
```

When to Refactor?

- **NOT:** 2 weeks every 6 months
- Do it as you develop - Opportunistic Refactoring
- Boy Scout principle: leave it better than you found it.
- If you recognize a warning sign (a *bad smell*)
 - ❑ When you add a function
 - Before, to start clean and/or
 - After, to clean-up
 - ❑ When you fix a bug
 - ❑ When you code review
 - ❑ You can use *The Rule of Three*

The Rule of Three

- The first time, just do it!
 - Need it somewhere else? Cut and paste it!
 - The third time, ***refactor!***

 - Often associated with Extreme Programming
-


When Not to Refactor?

- When the tests are failing
 - When you should just rewrite the code
 - When you have impending deadlines
-

How to Refactor?

1. Make sure all your tests pass
 2. Identify the ***code smell***
 3. Determine how to refactor this code
 4. Apply the ***refactoring***
 5. Run tests to make sure you didn't break anything
 6. Repeat until the smell is gone
-

How to Refactor?



Use refactorings to fix code smells

- Add Parameter
- Change Bidirectional Association to Unidirectional
- Change Reference to Value
- Change Unidirectional Association to Bidirectional
- Change Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
- Consolidate Conditional Expression
- Consolidate Duplicate Conditional Fragments
- Convert Procedural Design to Objects
- Decompose Conditional
- Duplicate Observed Data
- Encapsulate Collection
- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Class
- Extract Hierarchy
- Extract Interface
- Extract Method
- Extract Subclass
- Extract Superclass
- Form Template Method
- Hide Delegate
- Hide Method
- Inline Class
- Inline Method
- Rename Constant

Each of these is one predictably meaning preserving code transformation.

One Smell – Multiple Refactorings


Duplicated Code (Smell):

- Code repeated in multiple places
 - Multiple possible refactorings
 - ❑ Extract Method
 - ❑ Extract Class
 - ❑ Pull Up Method
 - ❑ Form Template Method
 - Choose appropriate one
-

Refactoring: Extract Method Example

Duplicated Code (Smell)

```
void printOwing() {  
    printBanner();  
  
    //print details  
    System.out.println ("name: " + _name);  
    System.out.println ("amount " + getOutstanding());  
}
```




```
void printOwing() {  
    printBanner();  
    printDetails(getOutstanding());  
}  
  
void printDetails (double outstanding) {  
    System.out.println ("name: " + _name);  
    System.out.println ("amount " + outstanding);  
}
```

Example Refactoring: Pull Up Method


Duplicated Code (Smell)

Refactoring: Pull up method - If there are identical methods in more than one subclass, move it to the superclass



Fixing Not Quite Duplicate Code

- Our early knotty code not quite duplication problem can be solved using refactoring.
- We can take that code, and transform it into a template method:



Example Refactoring: Introduce Parameter Object



Smell: **long parameter list**

/ data clump

constantly see the same few data items passed around together.

Refactoring:

Introduce parameter object - If you have a group of parameters that naturally go together then you can replace them with an object.



Smell: Long Method


- Methods with many statements, loops, or variables
 - Refactorings
 - ❑ Extract Method
 - ❑ Replace Temp with Query
 - ❑ Replace Method with Method Object
 - ❑ Decompose Conditional
 - ❑ Consolidate Conditional Expression
-

Refactoring: Extract Method

- Pull code out into a separate method when the original method is long or complex
 - Name the new method so as to make the original method clearer
 - Each method should have just one task
-

Smell: One class doing the work of two

*Refactoring:
Extract Class*



Smell: Complicated Conditional

```
if (date.before (SUMMER_START) || date.after(SUMMER_END))
  charge = quantity * _winterRate + _winterServiceCharge;
else charge = quantity * _summerRate;
```



Refactoring:
Decompose Conditional

extract methods from the condition, the “then” and the “else” parts.

```
if (notSummer(date))
  charge = winterCharge(quantity);
else charge = summerCharge (quantity);
```

```
class Account {
    float principal, rate;
    int daysActive, accountType;

    public static final int STANDARD = 0;
    public static final int BUDGET = 1;
    public static final int PREMIUM = 2;
    public static final int PREMIUM_PLUS = 3;
}
```

```
class Customer {
    public float calculateFee(Account accounts[]) {
        float totalFee = 0;
        Account account;
        for (int i=0; i<accounts.length; i++)
            if ( account.accountType == Account.PREMIUM ||
                account.accountType == Account.PREMIUM_PLUS ) {
                totalFee += .0125 * ( account.principal
                    * Math.exp( account.rate * (account.daysActive/365.25) )
                    - account.principal );
            }
        return totalFee;
    }
}
```

What Smells?

What Refactorings?

```

class Customer {
    public float calculateFee(Account accounts[]) {
        float totalFee = 0;
        Account account;
        for (int i=0; i<accounts.length; i++)
            if ( account.accountType == Account.PREMIUM ||
                account.accountType == Account.PREMIUM_PLUS ) {
                totalFee += .0125 * ( account.principal
                    * Math.exp( account.rate * (account.daysActive/365.25) )
                    - account.principal );
            }
        return totalFee;
    }
}

```

```

private float interestEarned() {
    float years = daysActive / (float) 365.25;
    float compoundInterest = principal * (float) Math.exp( rate * years );
    return ( compoundInterest - principal );
}

private float isPremium() {
    if (accountType == Account.PREMIUM || accountType == Account.PREMIUM_PLUS)
        return true;
    else return false;
}

public float calculateFee(Account accounts[]) {
    float totalFee = 0;
    Account account;
    for (int i=0; i<accounts.length; i++) {
        account = accounts[i];
        if ( account isPremium() )
            totalFee += BROKER_FEE_PERCENT * account.interestEarned();
    }
    return totalFee;;
}

static final double BROKER_FEE_PERCENT = 0.0125;

```

How to refactor?

Options

- Sloppy (manually)
- By the book (manually, but following a specific process)
- Automatic, using IDE support

Demos: <http://xp123.com/xplor/xp0605/index.shtml>

How to refactor?

Using IDE support is the best option. You are least likely to make mistakes using this approach.

For example, see this [IBM Developer Works article](#) about Eclipse's refactoring support

Refactoring Truths

- Most of the time your intuition is good
- Doing it *by the book* is hard
 - Use IDE tools
- Unit tests are the key
 - Run Unit tests
 - Refactor
 - Run Unit tests



Refactor Every Chance You Get

- Improve the design of existing code without changing functionality
 - Simplify code
 - Improve design
 - Remove duplicate code
 - The ability to refactor is your reward for spending time writing unit tests
-

Remember!

- A potential for refactoring ***is not a smell***
 - Just because you see a potential for refactoring doesn't mean you should apply it. Only refactor if the code suffers from a code smell.
 - Some refactorings are opposites of one another (you could get caught in a loop of refactorings if you do them just for the sake of it! Inline versus Extract method, for instance.)
 - First smell, then refactor
-

Refactoring Drawbacks

- When taken too far
 - Incessant tinkering with code
 - Trying to make it *perfect*
 - Attempting refactoring when the tests don't work – or without tests – can lead to dangerous situations!
 - Refactoring published interfaces propagates to external users relying on these interfaces
-

Why Developers Fear Refactoring?

1. “I don’t understand the code enough to do it”
 2. Short-term focus (Adding a new working feature is cooler!)
 3. Not paid for overhead tasks such as refactoring?
-
- Solutions:
 1. Test!
 2. Learn to appreciate beauty!
 3. Teach the benefits of better code!
-

Class Activity

- test your nose!
 - What are the most important smells (2 or more)?
 - Any other smell?
 - How would you refactor (name refactoring and how you would change code)?

List of Refactorings

[http://www.refactoring.com/
catalog/](http://www.refactoring.com/catalog/)

```

public void seek(float posValue) {
    //if fading, ignore
    if (bFading){
        return;
    }
    //save current position
    float fCurrentPos = fPos;
    //Do not seek to a position too near from the end : it can cause freeze. MAX=98%
    if (posValue>0.98f){
        posValue = 0.98f;
    }
    // leave if already seeking
    if (player != null && getState() == BasicPlayer.SEEKING) {
        Log.warn("Already seeking, leaving"); //$NON-NLS-1$
        return;
    }
    if (mPlayingData.containsKey("audio.type") && player != null) { //$NON-NLS-1$
        Type type = TypeManager.getInstance().getTypeByTechDesc((String) mPlayingData.get("audio.type"));
        // Seek support for MP3, and WAVE
        if (type.getBooleanValue(XML_TYPE_SEEK_SUPPORTED)
            && mPlayingData.containsKey("audio.length.bytes")) { //$NON-NLS-1$ //$NON-NLS-2$
            int iAudioLength = ((Integer) mPlayingData.get("audio.length.bytes")).intValue();
            long skipBytes = (long) Math.round(iAudioLength * posValue); //$NON-NLS-1$
            try {
                player.seek(skipBytes);
                setVolume(fVolume); //need this because a seek reset volume
            } catch (Exception e) {
                Log.error(e);
                return;
            }
        } else {
            Messages.showErrorMessage("126"); //$NON-NLS-1$
            return;
        }
    }
}
}

```

Resources

- “The” Book, by Martin Fowler
 - Refactoring: Improving the design of existing code
 - Smells to refactorings
 - <http://wiki.java.net/bin/view/People/SmellsToRefactorings>
 - List of refactorings
 - <http://www.refactoring.com/catalog>
 - A refactoring “cheat sheet”
 - <http://industriallogic.com/papers/smellstorefactorings.pdf>
-

Summary

- Code decays for many reasons
 - Collaboration, rework, external conditions, agility
 - Refactoring improves existing code
 - Does not change existing behaviour
 - Refactoring improves maintainability and hence productivity
 - Refactor continuously
 - Refactoring is an iterative process
 - Tests pass → Find smell → Refactor → Repeat
 - Many smells, even more refactorings!
-